



# **Performance of Zero Tillage Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under Different Irrigation Schedules and Nutrient Levels in Northern Telangana Zone (NTZ)**

**P. Ramya<sup>a++\*</sup>, E. Rajanikanth<sup>b#</sup>, D. Sreelatha<sup>c†</sup>  
and P. Ravi<sup>d#</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Polasa, Jagtial. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, NARP, RARS, Polasa, Jagtial. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, RARS, Polasa, Jagtial. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Soil Science, AICRP on LTFE, RARS, Polasa, Jagtial. Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i84363>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121537>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 07/06/2024**

**Accepted: 09/08/2024**

**Published: 12/08/2024**

<sup>++</sup> M. Sc. Research Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Scientist;

<sup>†</sup> Principal Scientist;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ramyapittala2000@gmail.com](mailto:ramyapittala2000@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Ramya, P., E. Rajanikanth, D. Sreelatha, and P. Ravi. 2024. "Performance of Zero Tillage Safflower (*Carthamus Tinctorius* L.) under Different Irrigation Schedules and Nutrient Levels in Northern Telangana Zone (NTZ)". *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change* 14 (8):423-29. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i84363>.

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Polasa, Jagtial in Northern Telangana Zone during *rabi* (winter season), 2023-2024 where the performance of zero tillage safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) was tested under different irrigation schedules and nutrient levels. The experiment was executed using a *factorial* randomized block design with 15 treatment combinations, with three replications. The treatments consisted of two factors i.e., factor-1 included irrigation schedules-; I<sub>1</sub>: Irrigation at rosette and flower initiation stages, I<sub>2</sub>: Irrigation at rosette and seed development stages, I<sub>3</sub>: Irrigation at branching and flower initiation stages, I<sub>4</sub>: Irrigation at branching and seed development stages and I<sub>5</sub>: No irrigation (residual moisture) and factor-2 included nutrient levels, S<sub>1</sub>: 75% Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF), S<sub>2</sub>: 100% RDF and S<sub>3</sub>: 125% RDF. The results revealed that irrigation at branching and seed development stages(I<sub>4</sub>) reported significantly highest seed (1199 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stalk yield (4724 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and yield attributing traits viz.; number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup>(22.5), number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup>(23.4), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup>(19.8 g), 100-seed weight (7 g), whereas the lowest yield were observed with no irrigation (residual moisture) (I<sub>5</sub>) treatment. Among different nutrient levels, application of 125% RDF (S<sub>3</sub>) resulted in the highest seed (1105 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stalk yield (4429 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and yield attributing traits viz.; number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup>(21.4), number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup>(22.6), seed weight plant<sup>-1</sup>(19.5 g), 100-seed weight (6.7 g) over 75% RDF (S<sub>1</sub>). Among different treatments, irrigation at the branching and seed development stages combined with the application of 125% RDF gave the best results.

**Keywords:** Safflower; zero tillage; irrigation and nutrient levels.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

India is one of the largest producers, consumers and importers of edible oilseeds. Globally, ranks as the 4<sup>th</sup> largest oilseeds producer in the world. Safflower is a major *rabi* season (winter crop) oilseed crop in India, known for its drought resistance and ability to thrive in dry conditions. It is primarily grown in Maharashtra, Karnataka, and parts of Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, and Telangana. India is the largest producer of safflower globally, with Maharashtra and Karnataka contributing over 90% of the total production. Despite its higher area (0.43 Mha), the average productivity remains low at 465 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> due to factors like reliance on rainfed farming [1]. In Telangana safflower is growing in an area of 3036 ha with 2732 tonnes of production [2]. In Telangana it is mostly grown in the Sangareddy district.

Irrigation plays a crucial role in influencing plant growth and yield, particularly in arid regions. The proper time of application of irrigation to crop is very important for increasing production and thereby increasing profitability [3]. Under irrigated conditions, safflower can nearly double the yield compared to rainfed crops. Higher productivity can be attained by implementing irrigation at critical stages [4] and providing irrigation three times for safflower crop has been shown enhanced plant growth and yield parameters

resulting in higher seed yields [5]. Conventional tillage (CT) that involves ploughing followed by cultivation has been practiced for centuries. However, CT leads to soil erosion and loss of organic matter leading to un sustainability of agriculture. As a remedy, conservation tillage that includes minimum tillage (MT) and zero tillage (ZT) has been widely accepted by farmers in many developed countries without losing the yield. Many studies showed that ZT has economic, ecological, environmental and social benefits. These factors encompass erosion control, water conservation, nutrient cycling, time and fossil fuel savings, reduced wear and tear on machinery, and soil carbon sequestration [6]. The availability of plant nutrients in soil, whether naturally present or artificially supplied, is a key determinant in the success or failure of a crop production system. Effective soil fertility management is crucial for enhancing crop production. Currently, safflower productivity in India remains low due to insufficient fertilizer application and improper management [7]. To enhance safflower production, it's crucial to augment fertilizer application, including micronutrients [8]. Optimal yields and quality of safflower oil can only be achieved when adequate quantities of all three major nutrients N, P, and K are supplied in a balanced manner [9]. Safflower crop is one of the alternate crop to *rabi* rice in NTZ. This trail was conducted in order to study the proper irrigation schedules and nutrient levels for enhancing the yield in safflower.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* (winter season) season of 2023-2024 at the Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Jagtial. The RARS was located at an altitude of 243.4 m above mean sea level (MSL) on 18°84'08" N latitude and 78°94'85" E longitude and it is categorized under Northern Telangana Zone (NTZ). The experiment was laid out with 5x3 Factorial Randomized Block design with three replications. There are two factors *viz.*, first factor includes five irrigations schedules (I<sub>1</sub>: at rosette and flower initiation stages, I<sub>2</sub>: at rosette and seed development stages, I<sub>3</sub>: at branching and flower initiation stages, I<sub>4</sub>: at branching and seed development stages, I<sub>5</sub>: No Irrigation (residual moisture) and second factor included three nutrient levels, S<sub>1</sub>-75% RDF (30:19:0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>2</sub>-100% RDF (40:25:0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), S<sub>3</sub>-125% RDF (50:31:0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The experimental site consisted of sandy clay loam soils, with slightly acidic pH (6.14), electrical conductivity (0.35 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), low organic carbon (0.48 %), low in N (187.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), P (14.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) availability, but high in K (315.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) availability. After harvesting the preceding rice crop, healthy and mature seeds of safflower variety TSF-1 were manually sown, placing 1-2 seeds hill<sup>-1</sup> at a depth of 5 cm, with a spacing of 45cm x 20 cm. A total two irrigations were given at the different stages of crop growth according to the treatment at a depth of 5 cm using water meter at each irrigation. Fertilizer was applied based on nutrient level treatments. Phosphorus and half of the nitrogen were applied as a basal dose at the time of sowing, while the remaining half of the nitrogen was applied at the flowering stage of the safflower crop. The data was analyzed by the method of "Analysis of Variance" as described by Panse and Sukhatme.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Seed Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data presented in the Table 1, show that the highest seed yield (1199 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of safflower was achieved with irrigation applied at the branching and seed development stages (I<sub>4</sub>), which is statistically on par with the irrigation applied at rosette and seed development stage (1092 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). No irrigation (residual moisture) treatment (I<sub>1</sub>) resulted in significantly the lowest seed yield (744 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Irrigation applied at branching stage led to the development of a sizable canopy before flowering due to improved branching, which provided potential sites for yield attributes. The higher seed yield with two irrigations was attributed to the availability of more nutrients for plant growth and enhanced yield attributes such as heads per plant, seeds per head, and 100-seed weight. Water deficiency causes stomata closure, reducing photosynthesis and the translocation of photo assimilates during grain filling. This impacts yield components like the number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> and the 100-seed weight, ultimately leading to a reduction in seed yield. These results were similar to the findings of Bastia et al. [10] Jabbari et al. [11] and Jhansi et al. [12].

Significantly highest seed yield (1105 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved with application of 125% RDF treatment. This yield was statistically on par with seed yield obtained with 100% RDF application (1039 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, significantly lowest seed yield (837 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was reported with 75% RDF application.

The increased nitrogen and phosphorous supply, owing to the adequacy of instant NO<sup>-3</sup> ions from the fertilizers during the seedling and vegetative stages, may have boosted dry matter production. This improved partitioning led to better yield attributes, including the number of capitula plant<sup>-1</sup>, seeds capitulum<sup>-1</sup>, and the weight of capitula plant<sup>-1</sup>, ultimately resulting in a higher seed yield. These results are similar with Kumar et al. [13].

The interaction between irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels had no significant impact on seed yield in rice fallow safflower.

### 3.2 Stover Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data in the Table 1 show that among the irrigation schedules, the highest stalk yield (4724 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved with irrigation applied at the branching and seed development stages. This yield is statistically similar to that obtained with irrigation applied at the rosette and seed development stages (4413 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment with no irrigation (residual moisture) resulted in the significantly lowest stalk yield (3000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in stalk yield of safflower may be attributed due to improved moisture availability and nutrient availability, which enhanced plant height, leaf area, crop growth rate, absolute growth rate, dry matter production and yield attributes, including the

number of capitula plant<sup>-1</sup>, seeds plant<sup>-1</sup> and 100-seed weight. Similar findings were reported by Dashora and Sharma [14] and Kumar et al. [13].

Results presented in Table 1 show that among different nutrient levels, application of 125% RDF resulted in significantly the highest stalk yield (4429 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). These results are statistically similar (4109 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) with stalk yield obtained with application of 100% RDF. In contrast, significantly lowest stalk yield (3386 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed with 75% RDF application. The increase in seed yield could be due to the improved growth of the plant resulting from readily available moisture and nutrient absorption, which enhanced metabolic activity and dry matter accumulation, ultimately leading to increased yield. These findings are supported by the results of Gitanjali et al. [15].

The interaction between irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels was not significant with stalk yield in rice fallow safflower.

### 3.3 Number of Heads Plant<sup>-1</sup>

The data in Table 2 reveals that, irrigation at branching and seed development stage resulted in significantly the highest number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> (22.5). These results were statistically on par with irrigation applied at rosette and seed development stage (21.1). Significantly lowest number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> (13.3) was obtained with treatment of no irrigation (residual moisture). The rise in the number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> with irrigation was likely due to the efficient transfer of photosynthates from the source to the sink. On the other hand, reduced yield attributes under stress conditions may be due to accelerated aging and diminished net photosynthesis. Similar finding were reported by Gitanjali et al. [15] and Parameshnaik et al. [3].

Table 2 shows that significantly the highest number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> (21.4) was achieved with the application of 125% RDF, followed by the application of 100% RDF (19.1). In contrast, the application of 75% RDF resulted in the significantly lowest number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> (16.8). The application of fertilizers established better conditions for nutrient absorption, leading to enhanced plant growth. As a result, yield attributes improved. The fertilizers also provided a higher supply of photosynthates, which were directed towards the reproductive parts of the

plants. Similar findings were reported by Patil et al. [16] and Yadav et al. [17].

The number of heads plant<sup>-1</sup> in rice fallow safflower was not significantly influenced by the interaction between irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels.

### 3.4 Number of Seeds Head<sup>-1</sup>

The data (Table 2) showed that irrigation at branching and seed development stage achieved significantly the highest number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> (23.4), followed by irrigation at rosette and seed development stage (22.2). Whereas significantly the lowest number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> (15.9) were observed with treatment no irrigation (residual moisture). Adequate moisture ensures the efficient transfer of photosynthates from the source to the sink, thereby increasing yield attributes. Conversely, the unavailability of moisture during various phenological stages and increased competition for moisture among plants reduce the number of capsules plant<sup>-1</sup>, the number of seeds capsule<sup>-1</sup>, and the 100-seed weight. Similar results were documented by Parameshnaik et al. [3] and Kocaman et al. [18].

The highest number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> (22.6) was observed with the application of 125% RDF, followed by the application of 100% RDF. In contrast lowest number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> (19.0) was observed with application of 75% RDF. The increase in yield attributes may be attributed to vigorous plant growth. This growth facilitated the absorption of a higher amount of nutrients from the soil. As a result, overall yield improved. Comparable results were reported by Gitanjali et al. [15] and Naik [19].

During the study, the number of seeds head<sup>-1</sup> was not influenced significantly with the combined effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels.

### 3.5 Seed Yield Plant<sup>-1</sup> (g)

The data in Table 2, demonstrates that irrigation at branching and seed development stage resulted in significantly the highest seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (19.8 g). The significantly lowest seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (17.5 g) was reported with the no irrigation (residual moisture) treatment. The increase in seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> may result from greater moisture availability and improved growth and yield attributes. These findings aligned with those of Gitanjali et al. [15].

**Table 1. Seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stalk yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of safflower as influenced by irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels under zero tillage condition**

Treatments	Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stalk yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Factor-1: Irrigation</b>		
I <sub>1</sub> : Rosette and flower initiation Stage	943	3728
I <sub>2</sub> : Rosette and seed development stage	1092	4413
I <sub>3</sub> : Branching and flower initiation stage	991	4007
I <sub>4</sub> : Branching and seed development stage	1199	4724
I <sub>5</sub> : No Irrigation (residual moisture)	744	3000
SEm ±	68.01	189.31
CD(P=0.05)	198.0	551.2
<b>Factor-2: Nutrient levels</b>		
S1: 75% RDF	837	3386
S2: 100% RDF	1039	4109
S3: 125% RDF	1105	4429
SEm ±	52.68	146.64
CD(P=0.05)	154.4	427.0
<b>Interaction (I×S)</b>		
SEm ±	117.8	327.9
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS

**Table 2. Yield attributes of safflower as influenced by irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels under zero tillage conditions**

Treatments	Yield attributes			
	No of heads plant <sup>-1</sup>	No of seeds head <sup>-1</sup>	Seed yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	100-seed weight(g)
<b>Factor-1: Irrigation</b>				
I <sub>1</sub> : Rosette and flower initiation Stage	17.9	20.5	18.2	6.4
I <sub>2</sub> : Rosette and seed development stage	21.1	22.2	19.3	6.6
I <sub>3</sub> : Branching and Flower initiation stage	20.6	22.1	18.4	6.0
I <sub>4</sub> : Branching and seed development stage	22.5	23.4	19.8	7.0
I <sub>5</sub> : No Irrigation (Residual Moisture)	13.3	15.9	17.6	4.7
SEm ±	0.64	0.39	0.15	0.23
CD(P=0.05)	1.87	1.16	0.45	0.67
<b>Factor-2: Nutrient levels</b>				
S1: 75% RDF	16.8	19.0	17.8	5.6
S2: 100% RDF	19.1	20.7	18.6	6.1
S3: 125% RDF	21.4	22.6	19.5	6.7
SEm ±	0.49	0.39	0.12	0.17
CD(P=0.05)	1.45	1.16	0.39	0.51
<b>Interaction (I×S)</b>				
SEm ±	1.11	0.69	0.26	0.39
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

The data presented in Table 2, showed that seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> was affected significantly with different nutrient levels. The lowest seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> significantly, (17.8 g) was noted with the application of 75% RDF. Significantly the highest seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> (19.5 g) was observed with application of 125% RDF

and followed by 100% RDF application (18.6 g). Related findings were presented by Gitanjali et al. [15].

The interaction effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels did not show any significant differences in seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup>.

### 3.6 100-Seed Weight (g)

Irrigation at branching and seed development stages showed significantly highest 100-seed weight (7.0 g), followed by the irrigation at rosette and seed development stages (6.6 g). Conversely, the no irrigation (residual moisture) treatment yielded significantly the lowest 100-seed weight of (4.7 g).

The treatment with 125% RDF application recorded a test weight (6.7 g), which is significantly superior to the 100-seed weights of the 100% and 75% RDF treatments (6.1 g and 5.6 g, respectively). Related observations were detailed by Gitanjali et al. [15,20].

Irrigation scheduling and nutrient levels did not show any significant interaction effect on 100-seed weight.

### 4. CONCLUSION

The results above indicate that providing irrigation at the branching and seed development stages along with applying of 125%RDF, gives significantly higher seed and stalk yield, as well as improved yield attributes such as the number of heads per plant, number of seeds per head, seed weight per plant and test weight. The study concludes that this combination of irrigation at the branching and seed development stages along with 125% RDF application performed the best among all treatment combinations in the variety TSF-1.

### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

1. Times of Agriculture Today; 2022. Accessed On: February 2023. Available: <https://timesofagriculture.in>
2. Department of agriculture cooperation and farmer welfare; 2023.

- Accessed on: 15 February 2023.  
Available in: <https://agri.telangana.gov.in>.
3. Parameshnaik C, Somanagouda G, Salakinkop SR. Effect of irrigation scheduling on growth, yield and economics of hybrid safflower. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*. 2022; 14(2a):414-419.
  4. Suryavanshi BS, Karle AS, Karanjikar PN, Pawar HD. Seed yield, petal yield and economics of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) as influenced by irrigation schedule. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*. 2007;24(1):206-207.
  5. Chordia RK, Gaur RL. Effect of irrigation and mulches on seed yield of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 1986;31(3):298-299.
  6. Lal R. Constraints to adopting no till farming in developing countries. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 2007;94:1-3.
  7. Vishwanath H, Pujari BT, Prakash SS, Babu, Ramesh Deshmanya J.B. Growth attributes, dry-matter production and its partitioning and nutrient uptake studies in spineless safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) var, NARI-6 as influenced by nitrogen and sulphur levels. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2006;19(4):913–917.
  8. Abbas M, Tomarz SS, Nigam KB. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur fertilization in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 1995;40(2):40.
  9. Mündal HH, Morrison RJ, Blackshaw RE, Roth B. Safflower production on the Canadian prairies. *Lethbridge/Alberta T1J4B*. 2004;(11):19-23
  10. Bastia DK, Rout AK, Garnayak LM. Irrigation and nutrient requirement of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) in black soil. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2003;48(4):297-300.
  11. Jabbari M, Ebadi A, Tobeh A, Mostafaii H. Effects of supplemental irrigation on yield and yield components of spring safflower genotypes. *Recent Research in Science and Technology*. 2009; 2(1).
  12. Jhansi G, Padmaja J, kumar KA, Activity of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *The Journal of Research, PJTSAU*. 2018;1-67.
  13. Kumar UK, Vani KP, Srinivas A, Babu PS, Yield, nutrient uptake and economics of safflower as influenced by INM under irrigation and rainfed planting. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(10):2178-2183.

14. Dashora P, Sharma RP. Effect of irrigation and sulphur nutrition on yield attributes, yield and oil content of safflower. *Crop Research*.2006;31(1):56-57
15. Gitanjali L, Chimote AN., Rahul G, Amol D. Effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on growth and yield of safflower in vertisols. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 2017;27(2):124-127.
16. Patil TS, Khawale VS., Bolke HM, Kolte HS. Effect of nutrient levels and biofertilizers on yield attributes and economics of safflower. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 2009;19(1):176-179.
17. Yadav RP, Tripathi ML, Trivedi SK. Effect of irrigation and nutrients levels on productivity and profitability of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2009;54(3): 332-335.
18. Kocaman I, Istanbuluoglu A, Konukcu F. Effects of deficit irrigation regimes on yield and growth components of winter safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). *Romanian Agricultural Research*. 2016; 33:267-274.
19. Naik DR. Response of safflower to sources of organic matter and graded level of fertilizers. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2005;18(2):115- 117.
20. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical methods for Agricultural workers*. 3rd ed. ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121537>