



## Ideally Statistical Convergence in n-normed Space

Nazneen Khan<sup>1\*</sup> and Amani Shatarah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, Taibah University, Madina, Saudi Arabia.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2020/v35i730305

#### Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Metin Basarir, Sakarya University, Turkey.
- (2) Dr. Raducanu Razvan, Al. I. Cuza University, Romania.
- (3) Dr. Jacek Dziok, University of Rzeszow, Poland.

#### Reviewers:

- (1) Ekrem Savas, Usak University, Turkey.
  - (2) Ehsan Movahednia, Behbahan Khatam Alanbia University of Technology, Iran.
- Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60892>

Received: 02 July 2020

Accepted: 07 September 2020

Published: 03 October 2020

Original Research Article

## Abstract

The aim of the article is to extend the concept of Ideally statistical convergence from 2 normed spaces to n-normed space. We have also study and prove some important algebraic and topological properties of *Ideally*-statistical convergence of real sequences in n-normed space. In the last part of this article we obtain a criterion for  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically Cauchy sequence in n-normed space to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically Cauchy with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

*Keywords:* Statistical convergence; Ideal convergence; Filter; statistically Cauchy sequence; real sequences; n-normed space.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 40A05, 40A35, 40G15.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus in 1951 but the extension of convergence of real sequences to statistical convergence was given by Fast [1]. We can find its

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: nazneen4maths@gmail.com;

applications in many areas of mathematics like number theory, trigonometric series and summability theory. Also Maddox [2] extended the concept for sequences in any Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces. In the case of real sequences, Fridy and Orhan [3] obtained the statistical analogue of the Cauchy criterion for convergence.

Let  $K$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then the asymptotic density of  $K$  is denoted by

$$\delta(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |k \leq n : k \in K|$$

where the vertical bars indicate the cardinality of the set.

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is called *Statistically Convergent* to  $L$  if for  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\}) = 0.$$

We write this as  $st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = L$

It is known that,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence (where  $\mathcal{I}$  stands for ideal) is generalization of the statistical convergence and it was introduced by Kostyrko et al. in [4]. It was further studied by Demirci[5], Das et al. [6], Šalát et al. [7], and many others.

**Definition 1.1.** A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^X$  (power set of  $X$ ) is said to be an ideal in  $X$  if

- 1)  $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- 2)  $\mathcal{I}$  is additive i.e  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- 3)  $\mathcal{I}$  is hereditary i.e  $\forall A \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

A non-trivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is called admissible if  $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is maximal if there cannot exist any non-trivial ideal  $J \neq \mathcal{I}$  containing  $\mathcal{I}$  as a subset.

**Definition 1.2.** A non-empty family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is said to be filter on  $\mathbb{N}$  if and only if

- 1)  $\phi \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 2)  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 3)  $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a proper ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  then the family of sets  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \exists A \in \mathcal{I} : M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A\}$  is a filter of  $\mathbb{N}$ . It is called as filter associated with the ideal.

Let  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of natural, real and complex numbers respectively. The set of all sequences is denoted by  $\omega$ . Any subset of the  $\omega$  is called sequence space. A sequence  $(x_k) \in \omega$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to a number  $L$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . In this case we write  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x_k = L$ .

For more details please see [8] and [9]

The theory of 2-normed spaces was first introduced by Gähler[10] in 1964. Later on it was extended to  $n$ -normed spaces by Misiak [11]. Since then many mathematicians have worked in this field and obtained many interesting results for instance see Gunawan[12],[13], Gunawan and Mashadi[14], Yamanci and Gürdal [15], E. Savas([16], [17], [18]) and so on. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X^n$  be a linear metric space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  of real or complex numbers of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A real valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following four conditions: (1)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent;

- (2)  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation;
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ ; and
- (4)  $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ .

is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called an  $n$ -normed space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Example 1.4.** If we take  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , equipped with Euclidean  $n$ -norm

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = \text{vol}(n - \text{dimensional parallelepiped})$$

spanned by the vectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

It may be given by the formula  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|$ , where  $x_{ij} = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

The standard  $n$ -norm on  $X$ , is defined as:

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \langle x_1, x_n \rangle \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle \end{array} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product on  $X$ . If  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then this  $n$ -norm is exactly the same as the Euclidean  $n$ -norm  $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E$  mentioned earlier. For  $n = 1$  this  $n$ -norm is the usual norm  $\|x\| = \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to converge to some  $L \in \mathbb{K}$  if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0$  for every  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ .

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if  $\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0$  for every  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ .

If every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm. Any complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be an  $n$ -Banach space.

**Example 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_\delta$  where  $\mathcal{I}_\delta = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{I}_\delta$  is an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  where ideal convergence coincides with statistical convergence.

Define the sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} (0, \dots, k), & k = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (0, \dots, 0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

let  $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$

$$\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \epsilon\} \subset \{1, 4, 9, 16, \dots, k^2\}.$$

Also we have that  $\delta(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_k - x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \geq \epsilon\}) = 0$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

This implies that  $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$  but the sequence  $(x_k)$  is not convergent to  $x$ .

## 2 $\mathcal{I}$ -Statistical Convergence and $\mathcal{I}$ -Statistically Cauchy Sequence in $n$ -normed Space

Now we give some useful definitions and examples based on  $\mathcal{I}$ -Statistical convergence and  $\mathcal{I}$ -Statistically Cauchy sequence in  $n$ -normed space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $(x_k)$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  such that for all  $z_i \in X, i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$  the set

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

or equivalently if for each  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\delta_{\mathcal{I}}(A_n(\epsilon)) = \mathcal{I} - \lim \delta_n(A_n(\epsilon)) = 0$$

where  $(A_n(\epsilon)) = \{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}$  and  $\delta_n(A_n(\epsilon)) = \frac{|A_n(\epsilon)|}{n}$ . If  $(x_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $\xi$  then we write  $\mathcal{I} - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - \xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = 0$  or  $\mathcal{I} - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|\xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$ . The number  $\xi$  is called the  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit of the sequence  $(x_k)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $(x_k)$  is a sequence in  $X$  and  $\xi$  is any element of  $X$  then the set

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - \xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} = \emptyset$$

since if  $z_i = 0$ , for  $i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$  then  $\|x_k - \xi, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = 0 \not\geq \epsilon$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . The sequence  $(x_k)$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically Cauchy sequence if for every  $\epsilon > 0, \delta > 0$  and all nonzero  $z_i \in X, i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$  there exists a number  $N$ , dependent on  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\delta_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x_{N(\epsilon)}, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} = 0,$$

i.e for every non zero  $z_i \in X$ ,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x_{N(\epsilon)}, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

### 3 Main Results

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $x_k$  be a sequence in  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal and  $L, L' \in X$ . For each  $z_i \in X$ , if  $\mathcal{I} - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|L, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  and  $\mathcal{I} - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|L', z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  then  $L = L'$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $L \neq L'$ . Then  $L - L' \neq 0$ , so there exist nonzero  $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n \in X$ , such that  $L - L'$  and  $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n$  are linearly independent (such  $z_i$  exist as dimension of  $X, d \geq n$ ). Therefore for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|L - L', z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| = 2\delta.$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|L - x_k + x_k - L', z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| = 2\delta,$$

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(L - x_k) + (x_k - L'), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| = 2\delta,$$

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k - L), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| + \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k - L'), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq 2\delta,$$

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k - L), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| < \delta \right\}$$

$$\subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k - L'), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\},$$

but  $\delta_{\mathcal{I}} \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k - L), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| < \delta \right\} = 0$  and hence contradicting the fact that  $x_n \rightarrow L'$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -st-lim).

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal. For each  $z_i \in X$ ,

- (i) If  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  and  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  then  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k + y_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|x + y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|\alpha x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof:**(i) Let  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  and  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  for every nonzero  $z_i \in X$ , then  $\delta_{\mathcal{I}}(K_1) = 0$  and  $\delta_{\mathcal{I}}(K_2) = 0$  where

$$K_1 = K_1(\epsilon) := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$K_2 = K_2(\epsilon) := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_k - y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}$$

for every  $z_i \in X$ . Let

$$K = K(\epsilon) := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_k + y_k) - (x + y), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\}$$

To prove that  $\delta_{\mathcal{I}}(K) = 0$  it is sufficient to show that  $K \subset K_1 \cup K_2$ . Let  $k_0 \in K$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_{k_0} + y_{k_0}) - (x + y), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose to the contrary that  $k_0 \notin K_1 \cup K_2$ . Then  $k_0 \notin K_1$  and  $k_0 \notin K_2$ . This implies

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_{k_0} - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

$$\frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_{k_0} - y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|(x_{k_0} + y_{k_0}) - (x + y), z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| &\leq \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_{k_0} - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|y_{k_0} - y, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \\ &= \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.1). Hence  $k_0 \in K_1 \cup K_2$ , that is  $K \subset K_1 \cup K_2$ .

- (ii) Let  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st}\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|}\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}. \tag{3.2}$$

Then since  $\|\alpha x_k - \alpha x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|}\}| \geq \delta \right\} &\in \mathcal{I}, \\ \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : |\alpha| \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} &\in \mathcal{I}, \\ \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|\alpha x_k - \alpha x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} &\in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from equation (3.2) we get  $\mathcal{I}$ -st- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|ax_k, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|ax, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$ , for every non zero  $z_i \in X, i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

Recall that we assume  $X$  to have dimension  $d$ , where  $2 \leq n \leq d < \infty$ , unless otherwise stated. Let  $u = \{u_1, \dots, u_{(n-1)}\}$  to be a basis for  $X$ . Then we have the following:

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $(x_k) \in X$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically convergent to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$ -st- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, \underbrace{u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i}_{(n-1)}\| = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(x_k) \in X$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically convergent to  $x \in X$ . Then by the definition of  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-convergence, we have

$$I - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = 0$$

Then  $\mathcal{I}$ -st- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, \underbrace{u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}\| = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ , is trivial since every  $z_i$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, (n-1)$

Next we prove the result conversely.

Let us assume

$$I - st - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i\| = 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, (n-1), \tag{3.3}$$

We want to show that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

For this, Let us consider the n-norm

$$\|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$$

Also as  $u = \{u_1, \dots, u_{(n-1)}\}$  is a basis of  $X$ , then we have

$$z_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^2 u_i, \quad z_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^3 u_i, \quad \dots \quad z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^n u_i$$

This implies,

$$\|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| = \|x_k - x, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^2 u_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^3 u_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^n u_i\|$$

As  $n$  is any positive integer, we have

$$\|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \leq \|n(x_k - x), \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^2 u_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^3 u_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^n u_i\|$$

Using triangle inequality and distributing corresponding components for each  $z_i$  over n-norm we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| &\leq \|(x_k - x), \underbrace{\alpha_1^2 u_1, \alpha_1^3 u_1, \dots, \alpha_1^n u_1}_{(n-1)}\| \\ &\quad + \|(x_k - x), \underbrace{\alpha_2^2 u_2, \alpha_2^3 u_2, \dots, \alpha_2^n u_2}_{(n-1)}\| + \dots \\ &\quad + \|(x_k - x), \underbrace{\alpha_{(n-1)}^2 u_{(n-1)}, \alpha_{(n-1)}^3 u_{(n-1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(n-1)}^n u_{(n-1)}}_{(n-1)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\max \alpha_1^i = \alpha_1, \quad \forall i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$ , similarly let  $\max \alpha_{(n-1)}^i = \alpha_{(n-1)}, \quad \forall i = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$ .  
Substituting these values in above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| &\leq \|(x_k - x), \alpha_1 u_1, \alpha_1 u_1, \dots, \alpha_1 u_1\| + \|(x_k - x), \alpha_2 u_2, \alpha_2 u_2, \dots, \alpha_2 u_2\| \\ &\quad + \dots + \|(x_k - x), \alpha_{(n-1)} u_{(n-1)}, \alpha_{(n-1)} u_{(n-1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(n-1)} u_{(n-1)}\| \\ &\leq |\alpha_1|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1\| + |\alpha_2|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_2, u_2, \dots, u_2\| \\ &\quad + \dots + |\alpha_{(n-1)}|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_{(n-1)}, u_{(n-1)}, \dots, u_{(n-1)}\| \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption in equation (3.3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i\| &= 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, (n-1), \text{ which implies,} \\ \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ |\alpha_1|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1\| + |\alpha_2|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_2, u_2, \dots, u_2\| + \dots + \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. |\alpha_{(n-1)}|^{n-1} \|(x_k - x), u_{(n-1)}, u_{(n-1)}, \dots, u_{(n-1)}\| \right\} \geq \epsilon^{(n-1)} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \left. \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \\ &\left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ \|(x_k - x), u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1\| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{|\alpha_1|^{n-1}} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ \|(x_k - x), u_2, u_2, \dots, u_2\| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{|\alpha_2|^{n-1}} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\cup \dots \cup \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ \|(x_k - x), u_{(n-1)}, u_{(n-1)}, \dots, u_{(n-1)}\| \geq \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{|\alpha_{(n-1)}|^{n-1}} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which gives,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \\ &\left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ \|(x_k - x), u_1, u_1, \dots, u_1\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha_1|} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ n \in N : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \leq n : \left\{ \|(x_k - x), u_2, u_2, \dots, u_2\| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha_2|} \right\} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\cup \dots \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \{k \leq n : \{ \| (x_k - x), u_{(n-1)}, u_{(n-1)}, \dots, u_{(n-1)} \| \} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha_{(n-1)}|} \} \right| \geq \delta \right\}$$

Since the right hand side of the above inclusion belongs to ideal, so does the left hand side. Consequently, we get  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\|$  for every nonzero  $z_i \in X$ . This proves the result.

Following theorem 3.3, we have the next lemma:

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $(x_k) \in X$  is  $I$ -statistically convergent to  $x$  in  $X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \max \|x_k - x, u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i\| = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, (n - 1)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** In the light of lemma 3.4, we can define a norm on  $X$ , denoted by  $\|x\|_\infty$ , with respect to the basis  $u = u_1, \dots, u_d$ , by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max \{ \|x, \underbrace{u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i}_{(n-1)} \| : i = 1, 2, \dots, d \}$$

Using the derived norm  $\|x\|_\infty$ , Lemma 3.4 now reads:

**Lemma 3.5** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $X$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistically convergent to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x\|_\infty = 0$ .

Associated to the derived norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , we can define the balls  $B(x, \epsilon)$  centered at  $x$  having radius  $\epsilon$  by

$$B(x, \epsilon) := \{y : \|x - y\|_\infty \leq \epsilon\}$$

where  $\|x - y\|_\infty = \max \|x - y, \underbrace{u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i}_{(n-1)}\|$ .

Using these balls, Lemma-3.5 becomes:

**Lemma 3.6** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $X$  is  $I$ -statistically convergent to  $x$  in  $X$  if and only if  $\delta_{\mathcal{I}}(A_n(\epsilon)) = 0$ , where  $A_n(\epsilon) = \{k \leq n : x_k \notin B(x, \epsilon)\}$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Any  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence  $(x_k)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-convergent if and only if any  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-convergent with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

**Proof:** From previous result, it is clear that  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-convergence in  $n$ -norm is equivalent to that in  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . That is for all  $z_i \in X, i = 2, 3, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, z_2, \dots, z_n\| &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}\text{-st-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x\|_\infty &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to show that  $(x_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence with respect to  $n$ -norm if and only if it is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ . Let  $(x_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence with respect to  $n$ -norm. Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k, m \geq N$  we have

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : \|x_k - x_m, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$



Consider,

$$\|x_k - x_m, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\| \geq \epsilon,$$

Then from lemma 3.3, we have  $\|x_k - x_m, u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i\| \geq \epsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Hence  $\max \|x_k - x_m, u_i, u_i, \dots, u_i\| \geq \epsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

By definition, it gives  $\|x_k - x_m\|_\infty \geq \epsilon$ .

Therefore  $(x_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

## 4 Conclusion

In this study, we develop the concept of Ideally-statistical convergence of sequences over n-normed space. Related algebraic and topological properties have been proved. The construction of max-norm of sequences over n-normed spaces lead to develop criterion for  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-Cauchy sequence to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -st-convergent.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the anonymous reviewers and the editor, whose helpful suggestions added greatly to improving the quality of this paper.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## References

- [1] Fast H. Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq.Math.* 1951;2:241-244.
- [2] Maddox IJ. Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces. *J. London. Math.Soc.* 1969;1:316-322.
- [3] Fridy JA, Orhan C. Lacunary statistical convergence. *Pacific J. Math.* 1993;160:43-51.
- [4] Kostyrko P, Šalát T, Wilczyński W. I-convergence. *Real Anal. Exch.* 2000;26(2):669-686.
- [5] Demirci K. I-limit superior and limit inferior. *Math. Commun.* 2001;6:165-172.
- [6] Das P, Kostyrko P, Malik P, Wilczyński W.  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence of double sequences. *Math. Slovaca.* 2008;58:605-620.
- [7] Šalát T, Tripathy BC, Ziman M. On some properties of I-convergence. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* 2004;28:279-286.
- [8] Khan N. Classes of i-convergent double sequences over n-normed spaces. *Journal of Function Spaces.* 2016;7. Article ID 7594031  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7594031>
- [9] Şahiner A, Gürdal M. Ideal convergence in n-normed spaces and some new sequence spaces via n-norm. *Journal of Fundamental Sciences.* 2008;4:233-244.
- [10] Gähler S. Linear 2-normietre. *Räme, Math. Nachr.* 1965;28:1-43.
- [11] Misiak A. n-inner product spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 1989;140:299-319.
- [12] Gunawan H. The space of p-summable sequence and its natural n-norm. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 2001;64:137-147.

- [13] Gunawan H. n-inner product, n-norms and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. Sci. Math. Jpn. 2001;5:47-54.
- [14] Gunawan H, Mashadi. On n-normed spaces. Int. J. Math. Sci. 2001;27:631-639.
- [15] Yamanci U, Gurdal M. I-statistical convergence in 2-normed space. Arab Journal of Mathematical sciences. 2014;20(1):41-47.
- [16] Savaş E. Some new sequence spaces defined by bounded variation in 2-normed spaces. Filomat. 2019;33(2):483-492.
- [17] Savaş E. On two-valued measure and double statistical convergence in 2-normed spaces. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013;347:11.
- [18] Savaş E. Some new double sequence spaces defined by orlicz function in n-normed space. Journal of inequalities and applications; 2011. Article Number: 592840

---

© 2020 Khan and Shatarah; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/60892>