



Evaluation of Constraints Encountered by Banana Growers in Adopting Water Management Practices using Henry Garrett Ranking Technique

V. Shanjeevika ^{a* \circ} , P. Balasubramaniam ^{b $\#$} , N. Venkatesa Palanichamy ^{c \dagger} ,
J. Venkata Pirabu ^{a \ddagger} and M. R. Duraisamy ^{d \textyen}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

^b Directorate of Open and Distance Learning, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

^c Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

^d Department of Physical Science and Information Technology, AEC and RI, TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i1131185

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91245>

Original Research Article

Received 22 June 2022
Accepted 28 August 2022
Published 30 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Aim: To analyze the constraints endured by banana growers in adopting Water Management Practices.

Methodology: Vellore district of North Eastern Zone in Tamil Nadu was purposively selected for the study as it had highest number of revenue blocks under critical groundwater explosion. Two villages from Anaicut block namely Melarasampatti and Vananthanghal were selected for the study. Banana is one of the water intensive crops which has number of water management

^{\circ} Ph.D. Scholar;

^{$\#$} Director;

^{\dagger} Dean (Agriculture);

^{\ddagger} Professor;

^{\textyen} Professor and Head;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shanjeevikav@gmail.com;

practices to be followed. Hence banana was selected for the study. Constraints in adopting water management practices was assessed by using Henry Garrett Ranking technique. A total of 80 respondents were selected for the analysis.

Results: Poor quality of drippers (71.68), Insufficiency of drippers for the entire field (63.95), Non availability of materials for mulching (63.16), Physical damage to drippers and microtubers while performing intercultural operations (59.39), Clogging of drippers due to salt water (54.93), Lack of knowledge about tissue cultured banana plantlets (50.86) were the major constraints encountered by the farmers.

Conclusion: We are in crucial need to extirpate these constraints to improve the adoption of water management practices among banana growers.

Keywords: Constraints; water management practices; banana growers; adoption.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is a global agricultural powerhouse [1]. With India growing as the second-largest producer of fruits and vegetables and holding the top position in numerous horticultural crops, the past trend in horticulture development has been positive and this propensity has been well-marked as "Golden Revolution" [2]. India's wide range of agroecological zones and climatic conditions offer the opportunity to cultivate and produce a wide variety of fruits, vegetables, and other agricultural and horticultural crops [3]. The banana was one of the first crops grown by humans and is currently a staple food crop for millions of people [4]. Banana is a significantly important fruit crop on a global scale with a yield of 97.5 million tonnes which provides livelihood for millions of Indian farmers [5]. The crop does well in humid tropics, humid subtropics, and semi-arid subtropics up to a height of 2,000 m [6]. While the acreage increased from 383.9 to 709 thousand hectares, the banana crop's yield rose from 20.30 to 37MT ha⁻¹. India stands first in banana production covering about 8,66,000 hectares. India accounts for about 26.08% of total world banana production. With 32,05,040 hectares under banana cultivation, Tamil Nadu ranks fourth in the nation, behind Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, and Maharashtra.

Since bananas are a succulent, evergreen, and shallow-rooted crop, they need a lot of water to grow more productively. The estimated water demand for bananas is 1,800–2,000 mm annually [7]. In the winter, irrigation should be applied every 7-8 days, while in the summer it should be given every 4-5 days interval. However, irrigation is only provided during the rainy season if it is necessary. The crop receives a total of 70 to 75 irrigations in its lifespan. Since it is a significant source of calorie-dense energy, it plays a significant role in the human diet.

To address the dietary needs of the expanding population and to improve employment and income prospects for farmers, the Government of India has placed a strong emphasis on intense year-round production of fruits and vegetables. Government of India initiated a scheme called the National Horticulture Mission to increase output of all horticultural goods and expand horticulture to its fullest extent. But banana is a water intensive crop; hence, Government is taking various steps to improve Water Use Efficiency in its cultivation. Popularizing drip irrigation, mulching technology and other water management practices are being practiced to conserve water. Constraints are impediments which slow up the adoption process. But the farmers are encountering issues in adopting these technologies. The results won't pull off until these constraints are expunged. Keeping the aforementioned factors in mind, the present study was undertaken with the sole goal of evaluating the constraints banana growers face in implementing suggested water management practices.

2. METHODOLOGY

The study was undertaken in Vellore district of North Eastern Zone of Tamil Nadu which has 7 blocks. Among these 7 blocks, Anaicut block was purposively selected for the study as it had the highest area under banana cultivation. Two villages viz., Melarasampatti and Vananthanghal were purposively selected from the block as these villages topped in area under banana cultivation. Total number of respondents selected was 80. Meanwhile, the records of the Agriculture Department and the statistics handbooks of the block were used to compile a list of all farmers in each village who are banana growers.

Later, Probability Proportionate to size sampling technique was consummated to select number of

respondents from the villages. The formula employed was:

$$n_i = [N_i / N] \times n \tag{1}$$

Where,

- n_i = Number of respondents to be selected from i th district
- N_i = Total number of respondents in the i th district
- N = Total number of respondents in the three districts
- n = Sample size ($n = 80$)

use of Henry Garrett's Ranking Technique, problem rankings can be converted into scores. Hence, each constraint will be assigned a different rank. The Garrett's formula which was used to convert rank into percent is as follows:

$$\text{Percent position} = 100 * (R_{ij} - 0.5) / N_j \tag{2}$$

Where,

- R_{ij} = rank given for i th constraint by j th individual;
- N_j = number of constraints ranked by j th individual.

Finally, from a total of 419 banana growers in Melarasampatti village about 41 respondents were selected and another 39 respondents were selected from a total of 398 banana growers in Vananthanghal village, thus, constituting a total of 80 respondents. Henry Garrett ranking technique was used to rank the constraints.

The per cent position of each rank is converted into scores with the help of the table given by Garrett and Woodworth [8] For each constraint, the scores of individual respondents will be added together and divided by the total number of the respondents. These mean scores for all the constraints is arranged in descending order; the constraints will be accordingly ranked. Using the table provided by Garrett and Woodworth [8], the percent position of each rank is converted in to scores. The scores of each respondent is summed up and divided by the total number of respondents for each constraint. The constraints are ordered in accordance with the decreasing order of these mean scores for all the constraints. Table 1 gives the Total number of ranks provided for constraints by respondents.

2.1 Operation of Henry Garrett Ranking Technique

Constraints were unveiled to the respondents and were asked to rank the constraints from their perspective. The method was used to rank the constraints perceived by respondents in adopting water management practices in banana. It is used to identify the most important constraint that affected the respondents' adoption. Through the

Table 1. Total number of ranks provided for constraints by respondents

Constraint	Rank														
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th	9 th	10 th	11 th	12 th	13 th	14 th	15 th
C ₁	18	19	17	18	1	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C ₂	1	12	3	7	10	7	12	11	4	2	2	3	1	0	5
C ₃	0	0	0	3	4	12	14	8	14	8	5	3	3	4	2
C ₄	0	0	2	2	7	1	0	12	13	11	16	1	3	3	9
C ₅	2	3	2	9	9	5	4	3	4	10	9	6	5	9	0
C ₆	5	2	2	6	8	7	10	2	7	11	7	1	4	3	5
C ₇	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	6	11	5	6	14	10	5	16
C ₈	0	0	0	0	2	6	3	4	3	9	9	14	11	9	10
C ₉	3	1	0	0	6	5	0	1	0	1	12	10	19	9	13
C ₁₀	11	3	5	2	4	5	10	5	1	7	5	7	2	7	6
C ₁₁	0	0	6	1	4	4	1	10	9	10	6	6	12	5	6
C ₁₂	2	3	3	0	10	6	10	6	3	2	3	6	8	15	3
C ₁₃	16	8	10	8	3	3	4	5	4	4	0	5	1	6	3
C ₁₄	8	22	13	9	1	8	3	1	5	0	0	4	3	0	3
C ₁₅	14	7	17	15	8	3	3	4	2	0	0	0	0	5	2

Table 2. Percent position and their corresponding Garrett value

Rank	$100(R_{ij} - 0.5)/N_j$	Percent position
1	100(1-0.5)/15	3.33
2	100(2-0.5)/15	10.00
3	100(3-0.5)/15	16.66
4	100(4-0.5)/15	23.33
5	100(5-0.5)/15	30.00
6	100(6-0.5)/15	36.66
7	100(7-0.5)/15	43.33
8	100(8-0.5)/15	50.00
9	100(9-0.5)/15	56.66
10	100(10-0.5)/15	63.33
11	100(11-0.5)/15	70.00
12	100(12-0.5)/15	76.66
13	100(13-0.5)/15	83.33
14	100(14-0.5)/15	90.00
15	100(15-0.5)/15	96.66

Table 3. Ranking of constraints in adopting water management practices by banana growers

Sl. No.	Constraints	Total score	Mean score = Total score/ 80	Rank
1.	Poor quality of drippers	5734	71.68	1
2.	Clogging of drippers due to salt water	4394	54.93	5
3.	Non availability of farm machineries in peak season of the year	3787	47.34	9
4.	Insufficient training with regards to improved water management practices	3403	42.54	11
5.	Difficult procedure in getting the subsidy	3863	48.29	8
6.	Unavailability of subsidized micro irrigation System to farmers having less than 1 acre of land	4000	50.00	7
7.	Inadequate knowledge on flood management	2837	35.46	15
8.	Managerial problems due to large scale holdings	2920	36.50	13
9.	Lack of technical guidance from extension officials after adopting water managements	2911	36.39	14
10.	Lack of knowledge about tissue cultured banana plantlets	4069	50.86	6
11.	Uncertain interruptions in power supply	3390	42.38	12
12.	Lack of knowledge about the schedule of applying liquid soluble fertilizers	3592	44.90	10
13.	Physical damage to drippers and micro tubers while performing intercultural operations	4751	59.39	4
14.	Non availability of materials for mulching	5053	63.16	3
15.	Difficulty in Re-layout of drippers for next season	5116	63.95	2

In order to ascertain their opinion on the constraint, the respondents were asked to rank the fifteen constraints indicated as 1, 2, 3, 4.....15. The calculated percent position for the rank 1,2,3,.....15 is provided in Table 2. The total score is calculated by multiplying the number of respondents ranking that constraint as 1,2,3... 15.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Table 3, it is evident that major constraint faced by banana growers in adopting water management practices was poor quality of drippers. More than half of the respondents (67.50%) of the banana growers adopted drip irrigation. Though Drip Irrigation System is

provided at 100.00 per cent subsidy for marginal and farmers and 75.00 per cent subsidy for large farmers the quality of drippers is suspicious. The respondents mentioned that the Drip Irrigation System last only for 2 years. But the beneficiary can avail the next Drip Irrigation System only after 7 years. So, there is a need for high quality Drip Irrigation System. In this regard, monitoring committee can be appointed and supervision can be done in the 3rd year. In case the drippers are damaged; measures can be taken to replace the drippers.

Difficulty in Re-layout of drippers for next season was the second main constraint felt by respondents with a score of 63.95. Moreover, they felt that drippers provided under the scheme is not sufficient for the entire field. The main reason behind this was that the farmers avail the Drip Irrigation System for one crop in the first year but they use it for multiple crops the following years. Due to the fixed spacing of the laterals they find it insufficient for the entire field. In order to overcome this limitation multipurpose sub mains can be designed which can be used for various crops.

From the study, it was found that only 37.50 per cent of the respondents practiced mulching. The main reason for non-adoption of mulching was non availability of materials for mulching. This was observed as third main constraint by the respondents. Government can take measures in providing mulching materials at subsidized rate to the farmers. This result was in line with Mehazabeen [9].

The fourth major constraint perceived by the respondents were physical damage to drippers by intercultural operations with a score of 59.39. Lamm [10] found that burying the drip tubing under soil or mulch, demonstrated excellent resistance to physical damage, rodent resistance and appears to be a cost-effective measure. Fifth main constraint experienced by them was clogging of drippers due to salt water. This can be prevented by regular cleaning of drippers with acid or chlorine, flushing it out at regular intervals, proper maintenance of sub main and main pipes. The findings were in line with Hiremath & Makadia [11].

Tissue cultured banana plantlets saves 4-5 months of cultivation period in the field which in turn saves 42.00 per cent of water. Lack of this knowledge was established as sixth main constraints. Measures can be taken by

Government to popularize tissue cultured banana plantlets among farmers. The findings were in line with Badgujar and Borole [12].

Though only a meagre population of about 2.50 per cent of the farmers had less than one acre of land, unavailability of subsidized micro irrigation System to farmers having less than 1 acre of land was felt as seventh main constraints. From the observation, it was found that they had joint patta of the land with their family members. There were no issues while getting the subsidies but when they split their portions they fall under this category. Moreover, the process of receiving Small and Marginal farmers certificate from Tahsildar was a tedious process. Hence, policies have to be framed considering small farmers having less than 1 ac of land.

Respondents felt that procedure for availing the subsidy was back-breaking. Thus, it was mentioned as eight important constraints with a score of 48.29. When expressing this constraint with the extension officials they divulged that ration of extension workers to farmers were less. Thereupon, measures have to be unleashed by Government to increase the Extension personnel: Farmer ratio. This was in accordance with findings of Meti [13].

Non availability of farm machineries in peak season of the year was endured as ninth constraint. Measures have to be taken to increase the number of required machineries in the custom hiring centres. Additionally, specific Extension personnels should be appointed to monitor the hiring properly. This was in accordance with Pandya and Dwivedi [14].

Lack of knowledge about the schedule of applying liquid soluble fertilizers and Insufficient training with regards to improved water management practices were perceived as tenth and eleventh constraint with a score of 44.90 and 42.54 respectively.

Uncertain interruptions in the power supply were discerned as twelfth constraint with a score of 42.38. They disclosed that irregular power supply were more in the morning hours which is the suitable time for irrigation. Due to this interruption, they were not able to irrigate the field properly. To get over this constraint pre informed scheduled power cuts can be put into action. The findings were in accordance with Verma and Sharma [15] where 81.20 per cent of the respondents perceived this constraint.

From the study, it was opined that around 22.50 per cent of the respondents were large farmers. They expressed that managing the entire field was difficult which in turn resulted in improper adoption of water management practices. Lack of technical guidance from extension officials after adopting water management practices was appraised as fourteenth constraint with a score of 36.39.

During the study, the respondents proclaimed that some portion of their land was in low lying area. They also revealed that during period of heavy downpour they were unaware of the managerial practices. Measures can be taken by Government to provide subsidized water gates which protects the crop and livestock from flood.

4. CONCLUSION

Water has become the new gold. Approximately 57.0 percent of the world's population will live in areas that experience a water shortage for at least one month of the year by 2050 [16]. Since, Banana being a water intensive crop, it is crucial need to adopt water management practices to cut down the extraneous waste of water. But, from the above context, it is clear that farmers are facing various constraints in adopting water management practices. We are in compelling necessity to exterminate these constraints in order to increase the adoption of water management practices by banana farmers. Moreover, Policy notes can be framed based on the suggestion provided by the farmers. Organising programmes and public gatherings on water efficiency to raise public awareness of the need to save water and its scarcity. Universities of agriculture should take the lead in advising farmers on water-saving crop patterns that take into account the soil and other climatic characteristics of a region. The beneficiaries of Mahatma Gandhi National Rural employment Guarantee Act (MGNREGA) can be directed to complete the pending water shortage projects in the state.

CONSENT

As per international standard or University Standard written participants' consent has been collected and preserved by the author.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With deep sense of gratefulness, I sincerely thank Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for

providing me financial support through Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Research Assistantship scholarship for the period of this research work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kamal MS, Ali MA, Alam MF. Socio-economic status and problems of banana growers in Bangladesh. *Int J Nat Soc Sci*. 2014;1(2):91-9.
2. Sarkar JD. Correlates of banana growers' characteristics and adoption of recommended banana production technology. *Curr Adv Agric Sci (Int J)*. 2015;7(1):88-90.
3. Dhawan V. Water and agriculture in India. In: Background paper for the South Asia expert panel during the Global Forum for Food and Agriculture. 2017;28.
4. Panigrahi P, Raychaudhuri S, Thakur AK, Nayak AK, Sahu P, Ambast SK. Automatic drip irrigation scheduling effects on yield and water productivity of banana. *Sci Hortic*. 2019;257:108677.
5. Gulkari KD, Chauhan NB, Onima VT. Constraints faced by the banana growers in adoption of risk management practices in drip irrigated banana cultivation. *Agric Update*. 2017;12(1):84-8.
6. Kumar MK, Muralidhara BM, Rani MU, Gowda JA. A figuration of banana production in India. *Environ Ecol*. 2013;31(4A):1860-2.
7. Panigrahi N, Thompson AJ, Zobelzu S, Knox JW. Identifying opportunities to improve management of water stress in banana production. *Sci Hortic*. 2021;276:109735.
8. Garrett HE, Woodworth RS. *Statistics in psychology and education*, Vakils, Feffer and Simons Pvt. Ltd. Bombay. 1969;329.
9. A. M, Srinivasan G, Radhakrishnan S. A constraint analysis on production and marketing of banana in Andhra Pradesh, India. *Plant Arch*. 2021;21(Supplement-1):2215-6.
10. Lamm FR, Colaizzi PD, Sorensen RB, Bordovsky JP, Dougherty M, Balkcom K et al. A 2020 Vision of subsurface drip irrigation in the US. *Trans ASABE*. 2021;64(4):1319-43.

11. Hiremath D, Makadia JJ. Issues in adoption of drip and conventional irrigation methods in banana: A socio-economic analysis of South Gujarat region. *Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol.* 2021;14(3): 341-7.
12. Badgujar CD, Borole YR. Constraints in banana production at Jalgaon district of Maharashtra. *BIOINFOLET A Q J Life Sci.* 2015;12(3a):562-5.
13. Meti CB. Studies on factors influencing the drip irrigation adoption, constraints and remedial measures to increase area under drip irrigation. *Int J Agric Eng.* 2012;5(2):236-9.
14. Pandya PA, Dwivedi DK. Constraints in adoption of drip irrigation. *Adv Life Sci.* 2016;5:2405-11.
15. Verma HL, Sharma SK. Constraints faced by the farmers in adoption of drip irrigation system in Bikaner district of Rajasthan. *Agric Update.* 2017;12(4): 643-8.
16. Mekonnen MM, Hoekstra AY. Four billion people facing severe water scarcity. *Sci Adv.* 2016;2(2):e1500323.

© 2022 Shanjeevika et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/91245>