



Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Practices on Growth, Yield, Economics and Soil Properties of Sweet Pepper under Open Condition at Mid Hill of Himachal Pradesh, India

Nikita Sharma ^{a*}, Sandeep Kumar ^a, Deependra Yadav ^a,
Ravinder Kumar ^b and Alok Kumar ^c

^a Department of Horticulture, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Mandi, H.P. 175028, India.

^b Department of Soil Science, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Mandi, H.P. 175028, India.

^c Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Mandi, H.P. 175028, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193610

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105055>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/06/2023

Accepted: 20/08/2023

Published: 26/08/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Effect Of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Practices On Growth, Yield, Economics and Soil Properties Of Sweet Pepper Under Open Condition At Mid Hill Of Himachal Pradesh" was carried out at the Research farm of the School of Agriculture, Abhilashi

*Corresponding author: E-mail: neeki020697@gmail.com;

University, Mandi (H.P.) during *Kharif* season 2022. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. The experiment consisted of two Bulky organic manures (FYM and vermicompost) and three inorganic sources *i.e.* nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium through urea, SSP and MOP respectively. The result revealed that maximum plant height (30.33 cm, 42.47 cm and 55.03 cm at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively), number of branches per plant (3.10, 3.43 and 4.03 at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively), number of leaves per plant (31.50, 32.60 and 35.03 at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively), plant spread (27.60 and 34.50 cm), minimum number of days taken to 50 per cent flowering (36.01), fruit length (8.03 cm), fruit diameter (6.73 cm), average fruit weight (72.07 g), maximum number of fruits per plant (13.13), maximum number of flowers per plant (14.47), number of pickings (4.33), yield per plant (1.01 kg), and fruits yield per hectare (350.34 q/ha) were recorded with the conjoint use of 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost in treatment T₇. This treatment also showed higher nutrient availability in the soil with the residual nutrient status of nitrogen (269.97 kg/ha), phosphorus (40.03 kg/ha) and potassium (292.33 kg/ha). Maximum gross return (₹ 595578/ha), net return (₹ 485173/ha) and maximum B: C ratio (1:5.39) was obtained in T₇. Thus, application of 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost was found highly beneficial for plant growth and yield of sweet pepper.

Keywords: *Integrated Nutrient Management (INM); sweet pepper; B: C ratio and yield.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) is an important crop belongs to the family Solanaceae. It is an annual, day neutral plant grown extensively in sub- temperate climate throughout the world. British colonists introduced sweet pepper to India in the 19th century and the first successful large scale cultivation was done in the Shimla hills area, that is why named as "*Shimla Mirch*". It is second most consumed vegetable crop worldwide. "In India, sweet pepper occupies an area of 37 thousand hectares with a production of 586 thousand metric tonnes" [1]. In Himachal Pradesh, sweet pepper is being cultivated as cash crop in the sub- temperate areas and covers an area of 2.85 thousand hectare with production of 48.86 metric tonnes [1]. Himachal Pradesh is the top producer of fresh sweet pepper fruits during the summer and rainy seasons which provides large returns to small and marginal hill farmers.

"Sweet pepper is cultivated as summer crop in the hilly areas where as autumn- winter crop in the plains of India. It can be grown in well-drained, loamy soils rich in organic matter. It is susceptible to frost and temperatures between 26 - 28 °C during the day and 16 - 18 °C in night is ideal for its growth and development. Extreme temperatures, such as those below 15 °C and above 30 °C, greatly hinder growth and fruit set. High relative humidity is good for growth, development, fruit set, and yield, but it also encourages the spread of foliar and fruit diseases" [2].

In India especially in Himachal Pradesh, in spite of its great potential the yield per unit area is quite poor when compared to the developed countries. This is mostly because there are no adequate production technologies, particularly for the nutrient management. Only one or two nutrients are supplied to the crop when chemical fertilisers are used without the use of organic manure. On the other hand, organic manures are known to have beneficial effect on soil health but its low nutritional content and limited supply makes it difficult to use on a larger scale. So combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers is considered ideal for obtaining the sustainable crop production. Keeping this in view, the present experiment was proposed to find out the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) Practices on The Growth, Yield, Economics and Soil Properties of Sweet Pepper under Open Condition at Mid Hill of Himachal Pradesh.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Experimental Site

The present investigation was conducted during the *Kharif* season 2022 at Research farm of the School of Agriculture, Abhilashi University, Mandi (H.P). The experimental farm situated at 31°33'31"N latitude and 77°00'39"E longitudes with the elevation of 1430 m above mean sea level. Soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam, neutral in reaction, low in available nitrogen (269.97 kg/ha), medium in available

Table 1. Details of treatments

Symbols	Treatment combinations
T ₁	Control (without fertilizers)
T ₂	100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers
T ₃	100% Recommended dose of FYM
T ₄	100% Recommended dose of vermicompost
T ₅	75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM
T ₆	75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost
T ₇	50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost

*RDF: Recommended Dose of Fertilizer (100:75:55kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O/ha); ** FYM: Farm Yard Manure

Table 2. Analysis of variance

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Sum of squares	Mean sum of square	Variance ratio ("F" Value)
Replication (R)	(r-1)=2	Sr	RSS/(r-1) = Mr	Mr/Me
Treatments (t)	(t-1)=6	St	TrSS/(t-1) = Mt	Mt/Me
Error	(r-1)(t-1)=12	Se	Err.SS/(r-1)(t-1) = Me	

potassium (292.33 kg/ha) and high in available phosphorus (40.03 kg/ha).

2.2 Treatment and Crop Culture

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications comprising of seven treatment combination of organic and inorganic manure, the details of treatment combination is given in Table 1. Seeds of sweet pepper cv. 'Royal Wonder' were sown in the nursery in raised beds under polyhouse condition in 1.5 m x 1 m x 0.15 m seed beds at Research Farm of Abhilashi University on 1st April, 2022 and transplanting was done on 12th May, 2022. The plot size was 3 m x 1.8 m and a spacing of 60 cm x 45 cm was followed. After 20 days of transplanting, five plants were tagged randomly from each plot for taking observation. FYM and vermicompost were applied before sweet pepper was transplanted. N, P₂O₅ and K₂O were applied through urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP) respectively according to the treatment plan.

2.3 Soil Analysis

Before and after the experiment, five random locations within each plot were chosen to collect soil samples from a depth of 0 to 15 cm. After properly combining the soil samples, composite samples were obtained. The soil samples were crushed, sieved through a 2-mm mesh, and then air dried. Soil samples were analyzed for pH with 1:2.5 soil water suspension glass electrode pH method Jackson [3], available nitrogen content was analyzed with alkaline permanganate method Subbiah and Asija [4], available phosphorus content was determined with Olsen

method of extraction with 0.5 NaHCO₃ (pH 8.5) Olsen et al. [5], and available potassium content was estimated with Ammonium acetate extraction method of Jackson [3].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of data carried out by using the statistical package OPSTAT. Economical analysis was carried out by calculating the amount of material needed for one hectare, calculating annual cost of production by considering present market rate. The results have been interpreted on the basis of 'F' test value and critical difference (CD) was calculated at 5 % level of significance. The analysis of variance was calculated as follows in Table 2.

Where,

r = Number of replications

t = Number of treatments

Me = Mean sum of square due to error

df = Degree of freedom

The standard error of mean (SEm) and critical difference (CD) for comparing the mean of any two treatments were computed as follows:

$$SEm = (Me/r)^{1/2}$$

$$SE(d) = (2Me/r)^{1/2}$$

CD = SE (d) "t" value at error degree of freedom.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Chemical Properties of Soil

Integrated use of inorganic and organic sources of nutrients had significant effect on soil pH, available N, P and K content (kg/ha) in soil as mentioned in Table-3.

3.1.1 Soil pH

Soil reaction (pH) is the primary element which affects the availability of nutrients in soil. The pH range of 5.5-6.5 is optimum for availability of most of the nutrient elements for pepper crop. Maximum pH value (6.13) was observed in T₅ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM) while minimum in T₂ (100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers) *i.e.* 5.68. The pH in the plots treated with a combination of organic and inorganic nutrients moved slightly towards neutrality, indicating that the application of organic amendments had a positive impact on soil health. Similar findings were obtained by Nandi et al. [6] and Jamir et al. [7].

3.1.2 Available nitrogen (kg/ha)

Maximum available nitrogen (269.97 kg/ha) was recorded in plots supplied with (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) treatment T₇ which might be due to the release of additional nitrogenous compounds into the soil due to the presence of vermicompost, which may have enhanced the soil's capacity for retaining nutrients. These results are in close conformity with Vijaya and Seethalakshmi [8], Atal [9] and Jamir et al. [7].

3.1.3 Available phosphorus (kg/ha)

As far as available phosphorus is concerned maximum available phosphorus (40.03 kg/ha) was recorded in T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost). The availability of phosphate was improved by combining inorganic fertiliser with organic manure, such as vermicompost and farm yard manure. Vimera et al. [10], Raturi et al. [11] and Sharma et al. [12] expressed the similar views.

3.1.4 Available potassium (kg/ha)

The data pertaining to available potassium content (292.33 kg/ha) was maximum in treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost). The increase in potassium content might be due to application of organic manures such as vermicompost in bulk quantity and their subsequently slow mineralization process Chetri et al. [13]. Another possible reason might be due to increase in potassium availability caused by a change in the equilibrium in the soil from relatively exchangeable

potassium to soluble potassium. The above findings are in accordance with Prativa and Bhattarai [14] and Atal [9].

3.2 Plant Growth Attributes

Improvement in growth characters is considered to be pre-requisite to increased yield as showed in Table-4.

3.2.1 Plant height (cm)

Height of the plant is one of the key variables impacting yield and harvest duration particularly for plants with an indeterminate growth habit. Among the treatments, treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded a maximum plant height of 42.47 cm and 55.03 at 45 and 60 DAT respectively and 31.53 cm at 30 DAT of treatment T₂ (100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers). The increase in plant height may be attained due to the release of the fixed nitrogen, hence increasing the concentration and availability of nitrogen in the root zone. Plant growth and its development was also obtained by Fawzy et al. [15], Malik et al. [16], Lal and Kanaujia [17], Jamir et al. [7] and Shilpa et al. [18].

3.2.2 Number of branches per plant

Branching in sweet pepper is a natural phenomenon but if allowed that usually induce more fruit production at the expense of size *i.e.* more fruits with more number of branches. The maximum number of branches per plant (3.10, 3.43 and 4.03) was produced at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively from T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost). While minimum number of branches per plant (1.67, 1.80 and 2.27) was produced at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively from T₁ (Control) treatment. The reason for the enhancement of branches production might be due to the direct effect of higher amount of inorganic nitrogen, which is a component of protein and chlorophyll molecules which might have increased the foliage of the plants and thus improved photosynthesis. Alike results was observed by Kumar and Dhar [19], Malik et al. [16] and Shilpa et al. [18].

3.2.3 Number of leaves per plant

Among the treatments, treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM +

25% through vermicompost) recorded a maximum number of leaves *i.e.* 31.50, 32.60 and 35.03 at 30, 45 and 60 DAT respectively and was found significantly superior to all other treatments. Maximum number of leaves might be due to fact that application of NPK and vermicompost provided adequate Nitrogen which is associated with high photo synthetic activity and vigorous vegetative growth Pariari and Khan [20]. The results of present investigation in terms of vegetative growth are also in concordance with the findings reported earlier by Lal and Kanaujia [17] and Jamir et al. [7].

3.2.4 Plant spread (cm)

Plant spread is an important growth parameter which consequently affect yield per plant. Among the treatments, treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded maximum plant spread (27.60 and 34.50 cm) at 45 and 60 DAT respectively. The increase in plant spread was attributed due to increase in fertilizer and organic manure application, which may increase amount of nutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in plants, increasing the formation of plant metabolites that supported the development of plant tissues. Similar result was also obtained by Malik et al. [16] and Raturi et al. [11].

3.2.5 Days to 50 per cent flowering

Early flowering results in early fruit development, which benefits in timing the crop. The number of days for inducing flowering varied from 36 to 45 days. The minimum day (36.01) for appearance of 50 per cent flowering was observed in treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost). It seems that with increase in the levels of nutrient application the number of days taken for 50 per cent flowering showed decreasing trend. Another aspect that could contribute to nutritional integration that results in earlier flowering is quicker photosynthesis and better translocation of photosynthates towards the flower bud initiation Naidu et al. [21] and Prativa and Bhattarai [14].

3.3 Yield Attributes

Integrated application of chemical fertilizers and organic manures increased yield and yield attributing characters of sweet pepper (Table-5).

3.3.1 Fruit length (cm)

Fruit length is an important yield characteristic that influences fruit size as well as yield per hectare. The treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded maximum fruit length (8.03 cm) whereas the treatment T₁ (Control) recorded minimum fruit length (5.67 cm). Sufficient quantity of the fertilizers *i.e.* nitrogen, phosphorus and specially potassium, fulfilled the need of plants to attain more vigour, flowering and fruit development which produced fruits of high quality and significant size (length) Dubey et al. [22]. Similar results were obtained by Malik et al. [16], Chetri et al. [13] and Lal and Kanaujia [17].

3.3.2 Fruit diameter (cm)

Fruit diameter is an important yield character which affects fruit size and consequently yield. Analysis of the data shows that the interaction effect of integrated nutrient management practices on sweet pepper recorded maximum fruit diameter (6.73 cm) in T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost). It may be due to improved soil physical, chemical and biological properties and addition of nutrients through vermicompost and chemical fertilizers which in turn enhanced growth and led to the production of blocky fruits in the sweet pepper. Similar are the findings of Lal and Kanaujia [17], Malik et al. [16], Dubey et al. [22] and Ngupok [23].

3.3.3 Number of flowers per plant

Among the treatments, treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded a maximum number of flowers *i.e.* 14.47 and was found significantly superior to all other treatments. This may be due to translocation of nutrients to the aerial parts of the plant. Vermicompost contains (3% N), (1% P₂O₅) and (1.5% K₂O). The solubilization of plant nutrients caused by the addition of vermicompost leads to increase NPK uptake and resulted in maximum number of flowers per plant in sweet pepper. Alike results was obtained by Shiva et al. [24], Bhattarai et al. [25] and Chetri et al. [13].

3.3.4 Number of fruits per plant

This is one of the most important characters contributing directly towards yield. The data on

different levels of nutrients revealed that T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) produced maximum number of fruits per plant (13.13) and minimum number of fruits per plant (8.93) was recorded in T₁ (Control). The increase in number of fruits per plant as results of integrated application of organic and inorganic fertilizers may be due to higher organic sources, higher organic matter build up, balanced C:N ratio and availability of nutrients Malik et al. [16]. Superiority of vermicompost over other organic sources could be attributed to its nutritional richness which results in better growth, more number of fruits when used in combination with organic or inorganic sources. Similar observations were also made by other workers like Lal and Kanaujia [17] and Ngupok [23].

3.3.5 Average fruit weight (g)

Fruit weight is an important yield parameter for obtaining maximum yield per hectare. The treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) which produced highest fruit length and fruit diameter, also observed maximum fruit weight 72.07 g followed by T₆ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost) which is 69.30 g. It appears from the findings of Suthar [26], that supply of nutrients from conjoint application of organic and inorganic sources *i.e.* vermicompost and chemical fertilizer improved the partitioning of photo-assimilates from source to sink (leaf to fruit) thereby increased fruit weight. Similar results have also been reported by Chetri et al. [13], Lal and Kanaujia [17] and Raturi et al. [11].

3.3.6 Fruit yield per plant (kg)

The treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) which produced highest fruit yield per plant *i.e.* 1.01 kg whereas the lowest fruit yield per plant was observed in control treatment T₁ (Control) *i.e.* 0.46 kg. This might be due to continuous availability of more nutrients in higher amount and better utilization by plants. These results are supported by Chetri et al. [13] and Bhattarai et al. [25].

3.3.7 Fruit yield per hectare (q)

The main objective of cultivation is to have maximum yield for better returns. Among the

treatments, treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded highest fruit yield per hectare of 350.34 q/ha which was found significantly higher to other treatment combinations. While the control treatment T₁ having the lowest yield attributes had attained the lowest fruit yield of 165.21 q/ha. It is seen that the treatment having plant growth couples with yield contributing parameters like number of fruits per plant, fruit length, fruit diameter and average fruit weight had attained the highest fruit yield. Similar are the findings of Bhattarai et al. [25], Dubey et al. [22] and Sharma et al. [12].

3.3.8 Number of pickings

The maximum number of picking was observed in treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) *i.e.* 4.33 which was statistically at par with treatment T₅ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM) which is 4.01 and T₂ (100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers) which is 4.00. While the minimum number of picking was done in treatment T₁ (Control) which is 2.33.

3.4 Economics

3.4.1 Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)

A perusal of data revealed that highest cost of production ₹143310 was incurred in treatment T₄ (100% Recommended Dose of FYM) because of the higher cost of vermicompost, whereas lowest (₹93310) was observed in treatment T₁ (Control).

3.4.2 Gross return (₹/ha)

The maximum gross return per hectare amounting to ₹595578 was obtained in treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) followed by T₆ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost) which is ₹523804 and T₅ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM) which is ₹466973. On the other hand, the minimum gross return (₹280857) was recorded for treatment T₁ (Control).

3.4.3 Net return (₹/ha)

The highest net return (₹485173) was recorded in T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost)

Table 3. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices on chemical properties of soil

Treatments	Soil pH	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P (kg/ha)	Available K (kg/ha)
T ₁ : Control (without fertilizers)	6.06	217.18	16.07	123.88
T ₂ : 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers	5.68	261.13	38.20	245.93
T ₃ : 100% Recommended dose of FYM	5.71	234.13	27.13	145.07
T ₄ : 100% Recommended dose of vermicompost	6.10	252.13	31.07	257.53
T ₅ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM	6.13	249.07	24.03	190.87
T ₆ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost	5.86	259.27	34.07	179.93
T ₇ : 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost.	5.94	269.97	40.03	292.33
SE(m) ±	8.68	3.10	3.09	2.60
CD at 5%	N/A	9.65	9.62	8.09

Table 4. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices in growth parameters of sweet peppers

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Number of branches			Number of leaves			Plant spread (cm)		Days to 50% flowering
	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	30 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	
T ₁ : Control (without fertilizers)	25.27	37.43	48.17	1.67	1.80	2.27	22.40	25.77	26.70	22.47	29.70	45.01
T ₂ : 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers	31.53	41.53	54.53	2.97	3.27	3.87	29.83	31.40	33.17	27.57	33.97	38.67
T ₃ : 100% Recommended dose of FYM	27.10	37.98	52.30	1.77	2.27	3.23	24.03	26.90	27.50	23.87	32.23	43.97
T ₄ : 100% Recommended dose of vermicompost	28.50	40.53	49.20	2.53	2.97	2.80	23.73	27.70	28.60	26.43	30.90	41.03
T ₅ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM	27.53	39.50	54.01	2.40	2.83	3.30	28.93	30.30	31.40	27.20	33.07	40.33
T ₆ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost	28.77	41.07	54.07	2.70	3.00	3.37	27.50	30.80	32.01	27.50	33.67	38.96
T ₇ : 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost	30.33	42.47	55.03	3.10	3.43	4.03	31.50	32.60	35.03	27.60	34.50	36.01
SE(m) ±	0.45	0.54	0.69	0.04	0.04	0.04	2.00	1.40	1.59	1.14	0.92	1.53
CD at 5%	1.42	1.69	2.15	0.14	0.13	0.13	6.24	4.36	4.95	3.54	2.85	4.76

Table 5. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices in yield parameters of sweet pepper

Treatments	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	No. of flowers per plant	No. of fruits per plant	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of pickings	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Fruit yield per hectare (g)
T ₁ : Control (without fertilizers)	5.67	5.03	9.53	8.93	49.87	2.33	0.46	165.21
T ₂ : 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers	6.90	5.60	11.37	10.63	62.38	4.00	0.68	246.23
T ₃ : 100% Recommended dose of FYM	6.57	5.07	10.87	9.97	51.22	2.67	0.52	189.23
T ₄ : 100% Recommended dose of vermicompost	6.67	5.40	11.07	10.23	55.98	3.00	0.56	213.61
T ₅ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM	7.40	6.13	13.53	11.40	64.62	4.01	0.76	274.69
T ₆ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost	7.43	6.53	12.73	11.97	69.30	3.67	0.85	308.12
T ₇ : 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost.	8.03	6.73	14.47	13.13	72.07	4.33	1.01	350.34
SE (±)	0.36	0.36	0.59	0.56	1.40	0.43	0.03	12.15
CD _{0.05}	1.13	1.13	1.83	1.74	4.37	1.34	0.10	37.85

Table 6. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) practices on economics of sweet pepper production

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Gross Return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net Return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Benefit: Cost ratio
T ₁ : Control (without fertilizers)	93310	280857	187546	1:3.00
T ₂ : 100% RDF through inorganic fertilizers	97499	418591	321091	1:4.29
T ₃ : 100% Recommended dose of FYM	103310	321691	218380	1:3.11
T ₄ : 100% Recommended dose of vermicompost	143310	363137	219826	1:2.53
T ₅ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM	98952	466973	368020	1:4.71
T ₆ : 75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost	108952	523804	414851	1:4.80
T ₇ : 50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost.	110404	595578	485173	1:5.39

followed by T₆ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost) i.e. ₹414851) and T₅ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM) i.e. ₹368020. Whereas, the lowest net return (₹187546) was observed in T₁ (Control)

3.4.4 B: C ratio

In overall, maximum B: C ratio (1:5.39) was recorded in T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) followed by B: C ratio (1.4.80) in T₆ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through vermicompost) and T₅ (75% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM) which is 1:4.71. Whereas minimum B: C ratio (1:3.00) was calculated in T₁ (Control), which may be due to the lower cost of production and comparable gross income in T₁ (Control). The reason for increased profit and highest Benefit: Cost ratio in treatment T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) is due to maximum fruit weight, healthy and better fruit size and higher net returns as compared to other treatments.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that, among different treatment, T₇ (50% RDF through inorganic fertilizer + 25% through FYM + 25% through vermicompost) recorded significantly higher values of growth characters and yield attributing characters. As well as Benefit: cost ratio, gross return, net return and also improved chemical properties of soil, treatment T₇ was found utmost.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, horticulture Statistics; 2022. Available: <https://agricoop.nic.in/en/StatHortEst>
- Hazra P, Chattopadhyah A, Karmakar K and Dutta S. Modern technology in vegetable production. New India publishing Agency, Pitam Pura, New Delhi 2011;10:413.
- Jackson M.L. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1967;183-192.
- Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 1956;25:259-260
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Circullar of U.S. Department of Agriculture science. 1954;939:19.
- Nandi A, Patnaik SK, Prasad G, Dash SK, Mishra HN. Response of brinjal to integrated nutrient management in acidic sandy loam soil. All India coordinated vegetables improvement project. Department of horticulture, Orissa. University of Agriculture & Technology, Bhubaneswar; 2012.
- Jamir T, Rajwade VB, Prasad VM, Lyngdoh C. Effect of organic manure and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) Hybrid Indam Bharath in shade net condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6:1010-1019.
- Vijaya KS, Seethalakshmi S. Response of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) to integrated nutrient management amended soil. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research 2011;2:1-8.
- Atal. Studies on effect of organic nutrient sources on bell pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) production. M.Sc. Thesis. YSP University of horticulture and forestry, Palampur, H.P, India; 2017.
- Vimera K, Kanaujia SP, Singh VB and Singh PK. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of king chilli under foothill condition of Nagaland. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2012;60:45-49.
- Raturi HC, Uppal GS, Singh SK and Kachwata DS. Effect of organic and inorganic nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of bell pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) grown under polyhouse condition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019;8:1788-1792.
- Sharma N, Shukla YR, Singh K and Mehta DK. Soil fertility, Nutrient uptake and yield of bell pepper as influenced by conjoint application of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Communications in Soil

- Science and Plant Analysis 2020;51:1626-1640.
13. Chetri DA, Singh AK and Singh VB. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by capsicum (*Capsicum annum*) cv. California wonder. Journal of Soils and Corporation 2012;22:44-48.
 14. Prativa KC and Bhattarai BP. Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth yield and soil nutrient status in tomato. Nepal Journal of Science and Technology 2011;12:23-28.
 15. Fawzy ZF, Behairy AG and Shehata SA. Effect of Potassium Fertilizer on Growth and Yield of Sweet pepper plants (*Capsicum annum* L.). Journal of Agriculture Reserach 2010;2:599-610.
 16. Malik AA, Chattoo MA, Sheemar G and Rashid R. Growth, yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper hybrid SH-SP- (*Capsicum annum* L.) as affected by integration of inorganic fertilizers and organic manures (FYM). Journal of Agricultural Technology 2011;7:1037-1048.
 17. Lal S. and Kanaujia SP. Integrated nutrient management in capsicum under low cost polyhouse condition. Annals of Horticulture 2013;6:170-177.
 18. Shilpa AK, Sharma, Thakur S and Sharma K. Integrated Nutrient Management of Peppers (*Capsicum annum* L.) in 21st Century - A Review. International Journal Current Microbiology Applied Science 2017;6:227-237.
 19. Kumar A and Dhar S. Evaluation of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in maize (*Zea mays*) and their residual effect on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under different fertility levels. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science 2010;80:364-71.
 20. Pariari A and Khan S. Integrated nutrient management of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) in Gangetic alluvial plains. Journal of Crop and Weed 2013;9:128-130.
 21. Naidu AK, Kushwah SS, Mehta AK and Jain PK. Study of organic, inorganic and biofertilizers in relation to growth and yield of tomato. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishavidalay Research Journal 2002; 35:36-37.
 22. Dubey AK, Devi S, Pranjal SR, Yogesh K, Ajay KV and Sandip KC. Effect of NPK on plant growth, yield and quality of capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L.) under shade net condition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2017; 6:1085-1091.
 23. Ngupok O, Warade SD, Das S, and Raghavan M. Effect of NPK on Growth, Yield and Quality of Hybrid Capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L. var. grossum) under Protected Condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018;6:451-453.
 24. Shiva KN, Srinivasan V, Zachariah TJ and Leela NK. Integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of paprika alike chillies (*Capsicum annum* L.) Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 2015;24:92-97.
 25. Bhattarai DR, Poudyal KP, Pokhrel S. Effect of Azotobacter and nitrogen levels on fruit yield and quality of bell pepper. Nepal Journal of Science and Technology 2011;12:29-34.
 26. Suthar S. Impact of vermicompost and composted farmyard manure on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) field crop. International Journal of Plant Production 2009;3:27-38.
 27. Dass A, Lenka NK, Patnaik US and Sudhishri S. Integrated nutrient management for production, economics and soil improvement in winter vegetables. International Journal of Vegetable Science 2008;14:104-20.

© 2023 Sharma et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105055>