Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science



32(6): 1-15, 2019; Article no.JAMCS.49817 ISSN: 2456-9968 (Past name: British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Past ISSN: 2231-0851)

Numerical Solution to One-dimensional Consolidation by the Finite Element Method

E. Nwankwo¹, O. D. Aluko¹, S. Iyeke^{1*} and A. Aladenika¹

¹Department of Civil Engineering, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author ODA designed the study, performed the numerical analysis, wrote the protocol and the first draft of the manuscript. Authors EN and SI managed the analyses of the study. Author AA managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2019/v32i630162 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Burcu Gurbuz, Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Uskudar University, Istanbul, Turkey. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) A. Stephan Antony Raj, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India. (2) J. Dario Aristizabal-Ochoa, National University of Colombia, Colombia. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49817</u>

Original Research Article

Received: 11 April 2019 Accepted: 19 June 2019 Published: 27 June 2019

Abstract

Adequate prediction of structures settlement is of utmost importance in order to prevent future failure of civil engineering structures due to excessive settlement resulting from an inadequate settlement prediction. In this paper, laboratory consolidation test was performed on five different clay samples from different locations to determine the soil consolidation in terms of pore water pressure. A formulation of Finite Element (FE) method was also developed for solving one-dimensional consolidation problem and its validity checked out. The one-dimensional consolidation differential equation was solved using finite element analysis by Rayleigh-Ritz method to obtain an approximate solution and ten elements were used to discretize the domain. MATLAB program was used to write the finite element codes. Considering the graphs generated from the MATLAB program which compares the consolidation behavior of the soil sample from analytical and numerical point of view, it is seen that there is a good agreement between Terzaghi's exact solution to consolidation behavior of soils and numerical solution using the finite element method.

Keywords: Consolidation; one-dimensional; Terzaghi's solution; finite element method; MATLAB.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: solo.iyeke@gmail.com;

1 Introduction

The increase rate of failures of civil engineering structures in Nigeria, has made it necessary to study the settlement of structures, especially within the southern part of Nigeria. The area is made up of reclaimed lands, composed of compressible weak organic and soft soils. The construction of structures like high rise buildings or embankments on compressible soils having high water table often leads to failures. It becomes imperative to understand, the consolidation characteristics of such soils in order to provide remedial measure [1]. The deformation and dissipation of pore fluids in a loaded soil medium is known as consolidation [2-3]. The consolidation problem of soil has close relation with the deformation, strength, stability, and seepage of soil mechanics [4]. The consolidation in soil is largely caused by change in the effective stress, resulting from a decrease in pore pressure or increase in total stress. Karl von Terzaghi was among the first to develop an analytical theory to explain and predict the process in fine-grained soils [5]. Terzaghi's one-dimensional (1D) consolidation theory for saturated soils, assumed that the stress-strain relationship of soil is linear in order to simplify the solution for practical use [6] and that the process of primary consolidation of a fully saturated soil is due to the dissipation of excess pore water pressure from the soil as a result of gradual transition of applied load from water to the soil particles. Under various assumptions, consolidation in a semi-infinite soil mass can be approximated as one-dimensional [7]. This approximation provides useful engineering solutions for many practical situations such as vertical settlements of foundations and embankments. The finite element method has been used by several researchers [8-15] in solving consolidation problems of elastic material. A finite element formulation based on the one-dimensional idealization was developed herein to provide acceptable solutions with simplicity and economy of computational and formulation efforts. In other word, by using computer-implemented mathematical models, one can simulate and analyze complicated one dimensional consolidation problems. This reduces the need for expensive and time-consuming experimental testing and makes it possible to compare many different alternatives for optimization. The results obtained from the finite element method were compared with Terzaghi analytical model for one dimensional soil consolidation.

2 One-Dimensional Consolidation Differential Equation

The basic differential equation of one-dimensional consolidation is as shown below:

$$C_{\nu}\frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t} \tag{1}$$

Where C_{ν} is the coefficient of consolidation and is given by:

$$C_{v} = \frac{k}{\gamma_{w}m_{v}} = \frac{k}{g\rho_{w}m_{v}}$$
(2)

The basic differential equation of one-dimensional consolidation given in eqn. (1) gives the distribution of excess hydrostatic pressure \overline{u} with depth z and time t. The analytical solution of eqn. (1) is obtained by Fourier series and the exact solution presented as follows:

$$\overline{u} = \frac{4}{\pi} \overline{u_i} \sum_{N=0}^{N=\infty} \frac{1}{(2N+1)} \left[\sin \frac{(2N+1)nz}{H} \right] e^{-\left[(2N+1)^2 \times \frac{\pi^2}{H^2} \right] C_v t}$$
(3)

In this exact solution equation obtained by Fourier series, z and H represents thickness of soil and drainage path respectively. This equation can be generally applied to any soil of initial pore water pressure \overline{u}_i (Arora, 2008).

3 Finite Element Solution to One-Dimensional Consolidation Differential Equation

Finite Element solution to one-dimensional consolidation starts from declaring the differential equation governing it. The basic differential equation of one-dimensional consolidation is given as:

$$m_{v}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\gamma_{w} - k\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} = 0$$
⁽⁴⁾

The weak form of equation (4) is obtained by multiplying it by a weight function W and then integrated over the domain of the problem.

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} W \left[m_{\nu} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \gamma_{\nu} - k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right] dz$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \left[Wm_{v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \gamma_{w} - Wk \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}} \right] dz$$
(6)

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$0 = \int_{x_A}^{x_B} \left[Wm_v \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \gamma_w + k \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right] dz - \left[W \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right]_{x_A}^{x_B}$$
(7)

Where the domain of the problem is between X_A and X_B

We assume an approximate solution given by the form:

$$u(z,t) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j^e(t) \psi_j^e(z)$$
⁽⁸⁾

Where \mathcal{U}_j denote the value of $\mathcal{U}(z,t)$ at the spatial location (z_j) and time t. And \mathcal{V}_j is the interpolation function used for the approximation (shape function). The semi discrete model used is obtained from equation (7) by substituting the finite element approximation equation (8) into equation (7) and substituting the weight function W with \mathcal{V}_i .

$$0 = \int_{x_A}^{x_B} \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \psi_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{du_j}{dt} \psi_j \right) + k \frac{d\psi_i}{dz} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d\psi_j}{dz} u_j \right) \right] dz - Q$$
⁽⁹⁾

Where

$$Q = \frac{du}{dz} \tag{10}$$

In matrix form, we have:

$$\left[M_{ij}^{e}\right]\left\{u^{e}\right\}+\left[k_{ij}^{e}\right]\left\{u^{e}\right\}=\left\{Q^{e}\right\}$$
(11)

Where

$$M_{ij}^{e} = \int_{x_{A}}^{x_{B}} \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right] dz$$
⁽¹²⁾

$$K_{ij}^{e} = \int_{x_{A}}^{x_{B}} \left[k \frac{\partial \psi_{i}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial z} \right] dz$$
⁽¹³⁾

$$Q^{\mathsf{e}} = \int \frac{du_{\mathsf{i}}}{dz} \Psi_{\mathsf{i}} \tag{14}$$

Linear Lagrange Interpolation function is used as given in lower coordinate below

$$\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{1} & h & \psi_{2} \\
\psi_{1}^{e} = 1 - \frac{z}{h} \\
\end{array} \tag{15}$$

$$\Psi_2^e = \frac{2}{h} \tag{16}$$

The derivative of the interpolation function with respect to z are as follows:

$$\frac{d\psi_1}{dz} = \frac{-1}{h} \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{d\psi_2}{dz} = \frac{1}{h} \tag{18}$$

From equation (12), the coefficient matrix M_{ij}^e for an element "e" is evaluated for i = 1 and j = 1

$$M_{11}^{e} = \int_{0}^{h} \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \right] dz$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Where h is the domain of an element

Nwankwo et al.; JAMCS, 32(6): 1-15, 2019; Article no.JAMCS.49817

$$M_{11} = \int_0^h m_v \gamma_w \left(1 - \frac{z}{h}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{h}\right) dz \tag{20}$$

$$M_{11} = \int_{0}^{h} \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \left(1 - \frac{2z}{h} + \frac{z^{2}}{h^{2}} \right) \right] dz$$
(21)

$$M_{11} = \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \left(z - \frac{z^{2}}{h} + \frac{z^{3}}{3h^{2}} \right) \right]_{0}^{h}$$
(22)

$$M_{11} = \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{\nu} \left(h - h + \frac{h^3}{3h^2} \right) \right]$$
(23)

$$M_{11} = m_v \gamma_w \frac{h}{3} \tag{24}$$

Similar computation is done for M_{12} , M_{21} and M_{22}

For M_{12} we have

$$M_{12} = \int_0^h \left[m_v \gamma_w \left(1 - \frac{z}{h} \right) \left(\frac{z}{h} \right) \right] dz$$
⁽²⁵⁾

$$M_{12} = \int_0^h \left[m_v \gamma_w \left(\frac{z}{h} - \frac{z^2}{h^2} \right) \right] dz$$
⁽²⁶⁾

$$M_{12} = \left[m_v \gamma_w \left(\frac{z^2}{2h} - \frac{z^3}{3h^2} \right) \right]$$
⁽²⁷⁾

$$M_{12} = \left[m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \left(\frac{h}{2} - \frac{h}{3} \right) \right] = m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} \frac{h}{6}$$
⁽²⁸⁾

In matrix form

$$M_{ij} = m_{\nu} \gamma_{w} h \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(29)

Similarly, K_{jj} is evaluated from equation (13)

for i = 1 and j = 1

$$K_{11} = \int_0^h \left[k \left(\frac{-1}{h} \right) \left(\frac{-1}{h} \right) \right] dz \tag{30}$$

$$K_{11} = \left[\frac{k^2}{h^2}\right]_0^h \tag{31}$$

$$K_{11} = \left[\frac{k}{h}\right] \tag{32}$$

for i = 1 and j=2

$$K_{12} = \int_0^h \left[k \left(\frac{-1}{h} \right) \left(\frac{1}{h} \right) \right] dz \tag{33}$$

$$K_{12} = \left[\frac{-k^2}{h^2}\right]_0^h \tag{34}$$

$$K_{12} = \left[\frac{-k}{h}\right] \tag{35}$$

Similar computation is done for K_{21} and K_{22} and the following values shown in matrix form are obtained.

$$K_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{k}{h} & \frac{-k}{h} \\ \frac{-k}{h} & \frac{k}{h} \end{bmatrix}$$
(36)

For the manual finite element analysis, 8 linear elements will be used to discretize the domain.



Fig. 1. Eight element discretization

Using 8 elements produce nine nodes.

Equation (11) can be rewritten for one element as shown

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{11}^{1} & M_{12}^{1} \\ M_{21}^{1} & M_{22}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K_{11}^{1} & K_{12}^{1} \\ K_{21}^{1} & K_{22}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} \\ u_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1} \\ Q_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(37)

The assembled equation for eight elements is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_2} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dot{M_{22}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_2} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_{22}} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_{22}} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_{22}} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_{22}} + \dot{M_{11}} & \dot{M_{12}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dot{M_{21}} & \dot{M_{22}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{2}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{11}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{12}^{\mathsf{t}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} + K_{21}^{\mathsf{t}} & K_{22}^{\mathsf{t}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{\mathsf{t}} \\ u_{\mathsf{t}} \\ u_{\mathsf{t}} \\ u_{\mathsf{t}} \\ u_{\mathsf{t}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} Q_{1}^{1} \\ Q_{2}^{1} + Q_{1}^{2} \\ Q_{2}^{2} + Q_{1}^{3} \\ Q_{2}^{3} + Q_{1}^{4} \\ Q_{2}^{4} + Q_{1}^{5} \\ Q_{2}^{5} + Q_{1}^{6} \\ Q_{2}^{6} + Q_{1}^{7} \\ Q_{2}^{7} + Q_{1}^{8} \\ Q_{2}^{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

(38)

To solve equation (38), we use α - family of approximation given

$$\left(\left[M^{e}\right] + \Delta t \alpha \left[K^{e}\right]\right) \left\{u^{e}\right\}_{s+1} = \left(\left[M^{e}\right] - \Delta t \left(1 - \alpha\right) \left[K^{e}\right]\right) \left\{u^{e}\right\}_{s} + \Delta t \left(\alpha \left\{Q^{e}\right\}_{s+1} + (1 - \alpha) \left\{Q^{e}\right\}_{s}\right)$$
(39)

Where

$$\begin{bmatrix} M^e \end{bmatrix}$$
 is the assembled M_{ij} matrix
 $\begin{bmatrix} K^e \end{bmatrix}$ is the assembled K_{j} matrix
 $\{Q^e\}$ is the assembled Q_i matrix
 Δt is the time step

 α could be any value between 0 and 1

$${u^e}_{s+1}$$
 is the next value of ${u^e}_s$ after an iteration

The boundary condition of equation (1) is

$$u(0,t) = 0$$

$$u(7,t) = 0$$
(40)

And the initial condition is

$$\mathcal{U}(z,0) = 100 \,\mathrm{kPa} \tag{41}$$

Due to balance of flux at the connecting nodes in equation (38)

$\left(Q_{2}^{1}+Q_{1}^{2}\right)$		$\left[0 \right]$	
$Q_2^2 + Q_1^3$		0	
$Q_2^3 + Q_1^4$		0	
$Q_2^4 + Q_1^5$	=	0	(42)
$Q_2^5 + Q_1^6$		0	(+2)
$Q_2^6 + Q_1^7$		0	
$(Q_2^7 + Q_1^8)$		0	

Q and Q_2^8 are unknown, hence we eliminate row 1 and row 9. Then from equation (40)

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_9 = 0 \tag{43}$$

After applying boundary condition to equation (38), we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) & M_{12}^{i} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & M_{21}^{i} & (M_{22}^{i}+M_{11}^{i}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{2} \\ u_{3} \\ u_{4} \\ u_{5} \\ u_{6} \\ u_{7} \\ u_{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

+

$$\begin{bmatrix} (K_{22}^{1}+K_{11}^{2}) & K_{12}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K_{21}^{2} & (K_{22}^{2}+K_{11}^{3}) & K_{12}^{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_{21}^{3} & (K_{22}^{3}+K_{11}^{4}) & K_{12}^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{4} & (K_{22}^{4}+K_{11}^{5}) & K_{12}^{5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{5} & (K_{22}^{5}+K_{11}^{6}) & K_{12}^{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{6} & (K_{22}^{6}+K_{11}^{7}) & K_{12}^{7} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_{21}^{6} & (K_{22}^{6}+K_{11}^{7}) & K_{12}^{7} \\ u_{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

	0	
	0	
	0	
={	0	
	0	
	0	
	0	

Using the following soil parameters for sample 1

soil permeability, $K = 6.28 \times 10^{-5}$ m/s coefficient of volume compressibility, $m_v = 5.6 \times 10^{-4} m^2 / kN$ unit weight of water, $\gamma_w = 9.81 kN / m^3$ soil depth, z = 7mmodulus of elasticity, $E = 1.8 MN / m^2$ $\alpha = 0.5$ $\Delta t = 0.1$

Using 8 elements discretization of the domain yields $h = \frac{7}{8} = 0.875$

Equation (29) becomes

$$M_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0016 & 0.0008\\ 0.0008 & 0.0016 \end{bmatrix}$$
(45)

And equation (36) becomes

$$K_{ij} = 1 \times 10^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} 0.7173 & -0.7173 \\ -0.7173 & 0.7173 \end{bmatrix}$$
(46)

Since h is used as constant of 0.875 for the elements, then

$$K_{ij}^{1} = K_{ij}^{2} = K_{ij}^{3} = K_{ij}^{N}$$
(47)

$$M_{ij}^{1} = M_{ij}^{2} = M_{ij}^{3} = M_{ij}^{N}$$
(48)

Solving eqn. (44) using all stated parameters for the first iteration after 0.1 days, we obtain:

(44)

	$\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \end{bmatrix}$		99.7071
	<i>u</i> ₃		100.0781
	u_4		99.9779
<	u_5	} =·	100.0109
	u_6		99.9779
	u_7		100.0781
	$\left[u_{8}\right]$	s=0.1	99.7071

(49)

Similar computations for second iteration with s = 0.2 days yields:

$\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \end{bmatrix}$			99.4165
<i>u</i> ₃			100.1541
u_4			99.9569
<i>u</i> ₅	}	= {	100.0212
<i>u</i> ₆			99.9569
<i>u</i> ₇			100.1541
$\left[u_{8}\right]$	$\int_{s=0.2}$		99.4165

(50)

With the help of the MATLAB program, developed iterations of over 3000 can be achieved and also a higher number of discretization (say using 50 elements) can be done. Using 8 elements manual discretization and time step of 0.1 days with the program developed after 3000 iterations, the following result is gotten at s = 300 days.

$\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \end{bmatrix}$			[20.4231]
<i>u</i> ₃			37.7296
$ u_4 $			49.2864
u_5	>	= <	53.3429
u_6			49.2864
$ u_7 $			37.7296
$\left\lfloor u_{8}\right\rfloor$	s=300		20.4231

4 Results and Discussion

Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the plots of soil consolidation with depth from numerical solution and from Terzaghi's solution (exact solution). These graphs show the soil consolidation in terms of pore water pressure after 1000 days (10,000 iterations) using 10 elements discretization of the domain for the five soil samples with different soil parameters.



Fig. 2. Comparisons of soil consolidation along depth at time, t =1000 days (Location 1)



Fig. 3. Comparisons of soil consolidation along depth at time, t =1000 days (Location 2)



Fig. 4. Comparisons of soil consolidation along depth at time, t =1000 days (Location 3)



Fig. 5. Comparisons of soil consolidation along depth at time, t =1000 days (Location 4)



Fig. 6. Comparisons of soil consolidation along depth at time, t =1000 days (Location 5)

5 Conclusion

One-dimensional consolidation problem was solved successfully using the finite element method in this study and the program of the formulation written with MATLAB program. Considering the graphs generated from the MATLAB program which compares the consolidation behavior of the soil sample from analytical and numerical point of view, it is seen that there is a good agreement between Terzaghi's exact solution to consolidation behavior of soils and numerical solution using the finite element method.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- Aseeja PK. Modelling consolidation of embankments using Plaxis. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. 2016;7(4):70-75.
- [2] Terzaghi K, Peck RB. Soil mechanics in engineering practice. John Wiley, New York; 1955.
- [3] Ho L, Fatahi B. Analytical solution for the two-dimensional plane strain consolidation of an unsaturated soil stratum subjected to time-dependent loading. Comput. Geotech. 2015;67:1–16.
- [4] Sun M., Zong M, Wu SW, Liang R. Analytical solution for one-dimensional consolidation of soil with exponentially time-growing drainage boundary under a ramp load. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2018;1-10.
- [5] Craig RF. Craig's soil mechanics (7th Ed.). New York, NY: Spon Press; 2004.

- [6] Wong LS, Somanathan S. Analytical and numerical modelling of one-dimensional consolidation of stabilized peat. Civil Engineering Journal. 2019;5(2):398-411.
- [7] Dew C, Johnson LD. Evaluation of two finite element formulations for one-dimensional consolidation. Computers & Structures. 1972;2:469-466.
- [8] Menendez C, Nieto PJG, Ortega FA, Bello A. Mathematical modelling and study of the consolidation of an elastic saturated soil with an incompressible fluid by FEM. Mathematical and Computer Modelling. 2009;49:2002-2018.
- [9] Zhou WH, Zhao LS, Li XB. A simple analytical solution to one-dimensional consolidation for unsaturated soils. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Met. Geomech. 2014;38:794–810.
- [10] Shan ZD, Ling DS, Ding HJ. Exact solutions for one-dimensional consolidation of single-layer unsaturated soil. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Met. Geomech. 2012;36:708–722.
- [11] Ho L, Fatahi B, Khabbaz H. Analytical solution for one-dimensional consolidation of unsaturated soils using eigenfunction expansion method. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Met. Geomech. 2014;38:1058– 1077.
- [12] Liu JC, Griffiths DV. A general solution for 1D consolidation induced by depth- and time-dependent changes in stress. Géotechnique. 2015;65:66–72.
- [13] Xie KH, Xie XY, Li BH. Analytical theory for one-dimensional consolidation of clayey soils exhibiting rheological characteristics under time-dependent loading. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Met. Geomech. 2008;32:1833–1855.
- [14] Wang L, Sun DA, Xu YF. Semi-analytical solutions to one-dimensional consolidation for unsaturated soils with semi-permeable drainage boundary. Appl. Math Mech. Engl. 2017;38:1439–1458.
- [15] Cai YQ, Liang X, Wu SM. One-dimensional consolidation of layered soils with impeded boundaries under time-dependent loadings. Appl. Math. Mech. Engl. 2004;25:937–944.

© 2019 Nwankwo et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar) http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49817